ASSISTANCE IN THE EXERCISE OF RIGHTS

LAW

"Each service provider shall assist patients in the exercise of all rights specified under ch. 51, Stats., and this chapter."

DHS 94.06(1), Wis. Admin. Code [Emphasis added.]

DECISIONS

1. The notification of rights is a very important task as it is intended to convey to clients that, indeed, they have many rights while receiving services, and that there are mechanisms designed to protect their rights such as the DHS 94 grievance resolution procedure. Yet, as clients begin receiving services, they may be at various functioning levels in terms of their ability to process this information and understand their rights. The law emphasizes the need for flexibility and follow-up by providers as may be warranted in any given situation. For example, if a client is admitted to an inpatient setting in an acutely psychotic state, that may be a time when the rights are the least meaningful or understandable. Thus, someone will need to follow up with the rights notification at a later time when the client is more likely to understand them. There are creative and effective ways in which information can be shared, explained, and discussed to make it meaningful. Usually some combination of oral notification (unless a client states that is not wanted) and written notification followed by an opportunity to ask questions, discuss what the rights mean, ensure the client knows who the Client Rights Specialist is, etc., is effective. The key part of this entire process is documentation. Having a patient sign an acknowledgement of receipt of rights information is always a good idea but, without more, this alone is not always meaningful. If there is a question later, additional and contemporaneous documentation about what the rights notification process entailed is a good protective measure for both a client and agency. It is always positive to include such documentation in the client's record. Documentation of annual re-notification of rights is also **necessary**. Who does the follow-up in up to the provider, but logically the Client Rights Specialists should have some role. (Level III decision in Case No. 00-SGE-01 on 6/29/01)

[See: "Introduction to Digest-Date Last Updated" page.]