

Person-Centered Planning Practice Profile

This document outlines the core components of Person-Centered Planning. There are four core components, **P**hilosophy, **P**rocess, **P**roduct and **S**kills. There are three tools evaluate Person-Centered Planning practice. One tool is a self-assessment, to be completed by the practitioner. Two tools, philosophy ratings and skills observer sheet, are to be completed by someone observing a practitioner’s practice.

Core component (the 3 Ps)	Contribution to the outcome	Expected use in practice	Developing use in practice	Unacceptable use in practice
<p>A person-centered philosophy provides the relational foundation of services, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Partnership • Evocation • Support • Autonomy • Empathy <p><i>A way of being.</i></p> <p>Philosophy is measured based on a 20 minute sample of practice and assessed using global ratings (2). The descriptions for expected, developing, and unacceptable come directly from Moyers et al. (2010) global ratings.</p>	<p>Being person-centered rapidly establishes and maintains a productive and caring working relationship. A strong relational foundation is essential to effective services. People tend to experience better engagement and outcomes when practitioners establish a strong, person-centered relational foundation.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [Partnership] Practitioner actively fosters and encourages power sharing and shared expertise. Person’s ideas substantially influence the nature of services delivered. • [Evocation] Practitioner works proactively to evoke the person’s experiences, perspectives, strengths and ideas about services. Practitioner evokes hope and confidence. • [Support autonomy] Practitioner adds significantly to the feeling and meaning of the person’s expression of autonomy, in such a way as to markedly expand the person’s experience of personal choice and control. • [Empathy] Practitioner shows evidence of deep understanding of the person’s point of view for what has been explicitly stated as well as what the person means but has not yet stated. <p>On the global ratings scale, expected use would be at least a 4.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practitioner incorporates a person’s goals, ideas, and values, but does so in a lukewarm or erratic fashion. May not perceive or may ignore opportunities to deepen the person’s contributions to services. • Practitioner shows little interest in, or awareness of, the person’s experiences, perspectives, and ideas. May frequently provide information or advice. • Practitioner is neutral relative to person’s autonomy and personal choice. • Practitioner is actively trying to understand the person’s perspectives with modest success. <p>On the global ratings scale, developing use would be a 3.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practitioner actively assumes the expert role for the majority of the interaction. Partnership is absent. • Practitioner relies on providing information or advice in the absence of exploring the person’s experiences and perspectives. • Practitioner actively detracts from or denies person’s perception of personal choice or control. • Practitioner has no apparent interest in the person’s worldview or perspective. <p>On the global ratings scale, unacceptable use would be a 1 or 2.</p>

Core component (the 3 Ps)	Contribution to the outcome	Expected use in practice	Developing use in practice	Unacceptable use in practice
<p>A person-centered process includes these elements:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Engagement • Assessment • Understanding • Prioritization • Planning <p><i>A way of doing.</i></p> <p>Use the self-assessment tool to reflect on your practice.</p>	<p>Engaging is the process of establishing a helpful connection and working relationship. Assessment and planning are essential functions of any human service work. Providing these services in a person-centered process enhances client engagement, satisfaction, and service outcomes.</p> <p>A person-centered plan helps to focus service delivery and provides a useful roadmap of how <i>recovery</i> will occur: “A process of change through which individuals improve their health and wellness, live a self-directed life, and strive to reach their full potential.” (7)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [Engagement] Practitioner spends some time in engagement with frequent listening prior to administering the assessment. • [Assessment] Assessment embodies partnership with the person. Practitioner works proactively to evoke the person’s experiences, perspectives and strengths. • [Assessment] Practitioner and person identify and describe symptoms, needs, barriers and risk factors. • [Understanding] Practitioner shows clear evidence of understanding the person’s experiences and perspectives. • [Prioritization and informing] Prioritization and focus of services is a negotiated and collaborative process with shared expertise. • [Prioritization] Autonomy, personal choice, and preferences are honored to the extent possible. • [Planning] Plan goals/objectives are individualized and recovery-orientated. • [Planning]The person has full input into goal development. • [Planning] The person’s natural supports and strengths are identified, cultivated and engaged. • [Planning] The written plan features the person’s own words (use of quotations). • [Planning] Services are collaboratively identified, responsive to medical, safety, and physiological needs, and focused on wellness. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practitioner spends minimal time in engagement with some listening prior to administering the assessment. • Practitioner shows lukewarm or erratic partnership. Practitioner misses opportunities to deepen understanding of the person’s experiences or perspectives. • Practitioner minimally involves person in identifying symptoms, needs, barriers, and risk factors. • Practitioner shows some evidence of understanding of the person’s experiences and perspectives. • Prioritization of goals and focus of services is somewhat negotiated. • Practitioner is neutral relative to the person’s autonomy, personal choice, and preferences. • Planning involves some of the person’s input. • Plan goals/objectives are somewhat individualized and recovery-oriented. • The person has some input into goal development. • The person’s natural supports and strengths are moderately identified and somewhat cultivated. • The written plan sporadically features the person’s own words (use of quotations). • Services are mostly collaboratively identified, mostly responsive to medical, safety, and physiological needs, and focus somewhat on wellness. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Practitioner jumps into information gathering (Q&A) without taking time to engage. Confusing small talk versus meaningful conversation. • Practitioner provides answers and solves problems for the person, rather than seeing them expert of their own life. • Practitioner focuses on a diagnosis versus seeing the whole person. • Does not involve person in identifying symptoms, needs, barriers, and risk factors. • Practitioner has no apparent interest in understanding the person’s experiences or perspectives. • Prioritization of goals and services is driven by the practitioner. • Practitioner actively detracts from or denies autonomy, personal choice, or preferences. • Planning excludes input from the person. • Plan goals/objectives are generic and deficit-orientated. • The person has no input into goal development. • The person’s natural supports and strengths are not identified or acknowledged. • The written plan does not feature the person’s own words. • Services are not collaboratively identified, are somewhat responsive to medical, safety, and physiological needs, but do not focus on wellness.

Core component (the 3 Ps)	Contribution to the outcome	Expected use in practice	Developing use in practice	Unacceptable use in practice
<p>The product of person-centered planning represents meaningful outcomes.</p>	<p>A person-centered plan results from the process. The plan is a written document that evolves during the delivery of services and embodies the person-centered philosophy.</p> <p>Outcomes are the bottom line of services. Careful examination of outcomes can provide the basis of process improvement and professional development.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentation logically follows from the plan; is regular, timely, and accurate; and consistently uses person-first language. • Plans are regularly monitored and updated as services progress. • Outcomes of planning and services are examined by practitioners and supervisors with management support. • Effective measures are set up for the collection, analysis, and reporting of meaningful data. This could include administering a standardized client satisfaction survey, structured practitioner self-assessment, or supervisor evaluation. • Data informs process improvement and professional development. These activities are monitored and documented. • Practitioners have individualized professional development plans. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentation somewhat follows from the plan; is mostly regular, timely, and accurate; and occasionally uses person-first language. • Plans are somewhat monitored and occasionally updated as services progress. • Outcomes of planning and services are occasionally examined by practitioners and supervisors with some management support. • Measures are set up for the collection, analysis, and reporting of meaningful data, but rely on practitioner self-report. There is an absence of client-specific measures. • Data is not used to inform process improvement and professional development. • Practitioners create an annual work plan that is occasionally monitored. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Documentation is generic and disjointed from the plan; is somewhat regular and timely; and never uses person-first language. • Plans are rarely monitored or updated as services progress. • Outcomes of planning and services are not examined by practitioners and supervisors. • No measures are in place. Exclusive reliance on practitioner self-report. • Data is not used to inform process improvement and professional development. • Practitioners have no work plan.

Core component (the 3 Ps)	Contribution to the outcome	Expected use in practice	Developing use in practice	Unacceptable use in practice
<p>Person-centered skills provide the basis for all interactions and the process of planning. These skills include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Listening • Asking • Affirming • Informing • Supporting Autonomy <p>Note: The only reliable and valid way to assess practitioner skills is through direct observation of practice and use of a structured performance-based assessment instrument.</p>	<p>The level of practitioner skillfulness is a robust predictor of service engagement, client satisfaction, and outcomes of services. Quality listening is one of the most important skills in human service work.</p> <p>Skills are present within a specific interaction <i>that is not</i> the administration of assessment.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [Listening] On average, there are just as many reflective listening statements offered as questions asked, that is, there is a 1:1 ratio of reflection to questions. • [Asking] At least 70% of all questions are open questions to explore person's experiences, perspectives, and ideas. • [Affirming] Specific strengths or positive attributes are identified and affirmed; there are at least 2 affirmations. • [Informing] Practitioner perspectives/ideas are occasionally offered and only with the person's permission. Information is always followed by asking for the person's thoughts. • [Supporting autonomy] Practitioner offers at least 1 statement that highlights the person's sense of control, freedom of choice, personal autonomy, or ability to decide for themselves. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some reflective listening statements are occasionally offered. • At least 50% of all questions are open questions to explore person's experiences, perspectives, and ideas. • Specific strengths or positive attributes are identified and affirmed; there is at least 1 affirmation. • Information or practitioner perspectives/ideas are regularly offered and occasionally with the person's permission. Information is sometimes followed by asking for the person's thoughts. • Practitioner may offer 1 statement that highlights the person's autonomy. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Few or no reflective listening statements are offered. • Most questions asked are closed questions and tend to be oriented to fact gathering. Little to no asking of the person's perspective or experiences or ideas. • No specific strengths or positive attributes are identified; practitioner may offer non-specific praising. • Information or practitioner perspectives/ideas are frequently offered and rarely with the person's permission. • Practitioner does not highlight the person's autonomy.

References

1. Adams, N., & Grieder, D. M. (2014). *Treatment planning for person-centered care: Shared decision making for whole health* (2nd ed.). Waltham, MA: Elsevier.
2. Moyers, T. B., Martin, T., Manuel, J. K., Miller, W. R., & Ernst, D. (2010). *Revised global scales: Motivational Interviewing Treatment Integrity 3.1.1* [Unpublished coding manual]. University of New Mexico: Center on Alcoholism, Substance Abuse and Addictions. Access from https://casaa.unm.edu/download/miti3_1.pdf
3. Elliott R., Bohart A.C., Watson J.C. et al. (2018). Therapist empathy and client outcome: An updated meta-analysis [Summary].
4. *Psychotherapy*, 55(4), 399-410. Accessed from https://findings.org.uk/PHP/dl.php?file=Elliott_R_4.cab&s=dy&_sm_au=iJVTt0TrpPJ7jJVM
5. Miller, W. R., & Rollnick, S. (2013). *Motivational interviewing: Helping people change* (3rd ed.). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
6. Tondora, J., Miller, R., Slade, M., & Davidson, L. (2014). *Partnering for recovery in mental health: A practical guide to person-centered planning*. West Sussex, UK: Wiley Blackwell.
7. Yale Program for Recovery and Community Health (2018). *Person-centered planning tools*. Access from <https://medicine.yale.edu/psychiatry/prch/tools/pcp.aspx>
8. [Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration](#) (SAMHSA). Recovery and Recovery Support.



WISCONSIN DEPARTMENT
of HEALTH SERVICES

P-02688 (02/2021)