Wisconsin Local Health Department Survey, 2005

Bureau of Health Information and Policy Division of Public Health Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services

August 2007 (Revised: April 2009)

Foreword

The Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services (DHFS) is mandated by section 251.05, Wisconsin Statutes, to collect information annually from local health departments (LHDs) for use in a local public health data system. The 2005 Local Health Department Survey was conducted within DHFS by the Bureau of Local Health Support and Emergency Medical Services, Wisconsin Division of Public Health (DPH).

The Bureau of Local Health Support and Emergency Medical Services distributed the 2005 survey to local health officers online via the Health Alert Network (HAN), which allowed the LHDs to submit their responses electronically. The survey was available on the HAN beginning November 10, 2006, and the final survey was completed on April 1, 2007. DPH Regional Office staff reviewed survey responses for errors and inconsistencies.

The information presented in this report is based on local health department responses to the 2005 annual survey. Included are tables showing financial data (revenues, expenses, local tax levies and per capita expenditures) and LHD staffing for 2005. Ninety-two LHDs in Wisconsin responded to the financial survey and 91 LHDs responded to the staffing survey. At the end of the report are copies of the survey instruments and the definitions provided to LHDs as a reference for their responses.

As noted above, all data shown are self-reported by the LHDs, with data verification provided by DPH Regional Office staff. Jennifer Ullsvik of the DPH Southern Regional Office compiled the data from the online survey. Melissa Olson, University of Wisconsin Fellow in the Southern Regional Office, also reviewed the data. Mary Young, Director of the DPH Southern Regional Office, reviewed the report draft.

This report was compiled by Yiwu Zhang in the Population Health Information Section (PHIS) of the Bureau of Health Information and Policy (BHIP). Carla Greene of PHIS assisted in the report's production. Pat Nametz of PHIS edited the report. Chris Hill, Chief, PHIS, supervised the report preparation. Patricia Guhleman, Director, BHIP, provided overall direction.

This report and other health statistics are available online at https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/stats/index.htm. Comments, suggestions and requests for further information may be addressed to individual Regional Office directors (https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/stats/local-data.htm) or to:

Yiwu Zhang Bureau of Health Information and Policy Division of Public Health P.O. Box 2659, Room 172 Madison, WI 53701-2659 Telephone: 608-267-0334

E-mail: ZHANGYW@dhfs.state.wi.us

Suggested Citation:

Wisconsin Department of Health and Family Services, Division of Public Health, Bureau of Health Information and Policy. *Wisconsin Local Health Department Survey*, *2005* (PPH 5704-05). August 2007.

Table of Contents

Foreword.		. 1
Table 1.	Local Health Department Revenues and Expenses, Wisconsin 2005	. 4
Table 2.	Local Health Department Staff, Wisconsin 2005	.7
Table 3.	Local Health Department FTE Staff, Wisconsin 2005	10
Survey and	1 Definitions	
Local Heal	th Department Financial Survey 2005	13
Local Heal	th Department Financial Survey – Definitions/Examples	14
Local Heal	th Department Staffing Survey 2005	15
Local Heal	Ith Department Staffing Survey Definitions	16

Table 1. Local Health Department Revenues and Expenses, Wisconsin 2005											
			Revenu	es	Expense	es	Tax	Levy **			
LHD	Population	Fiscal Agent ***	Total	Per Capita	Total	Per Capita	Total	Per Capita	% of Total Exp.		
STATE TOTAL	5,580,757		\$69,288,514	\$12.42	\$136,853,054	\$24.52	\$68,005,195	\$12.19	50%		
ADAMS	21,224	Yes	\$470,046	\$22.15	\$401,304	\$18.91	\$221,708	\$10.45	55%		
ASHLAND	16,905		\$108,420	\$6.41	\$354,519	\$20.97	\$246,099	\$14.56	69%		
BARRON	46,805		\$615,236	\$13.14	\$1,324,535	\$28.30	\$709,299	\$15.15	54%		
BAYFIELD	15,666		\$407,347	\$26.00	\$573,485	\$36.61	\$166,138	\$10.61	29%		
BROWN	218,094	Yes	\$1,605,399	\$7.36	\$3,558,192	\$16.31	\$1,952,793	\$8.95	55%		
De Pere	22,310		\$114,356	\$5.13	\$257,784	\$11.55	\$143,428	\$6.43	56%		
BUFFALO	14,076		\$228,193	\$16.21	\$415,563	\$29.52	\$187,370	\$13.31	45%		
BURNETT	16,542	Yes	\$306,698	\$18.54	\$661,989	\$40.02	\$355,291	\$21.48	54%		
CALUMET	45,168		\$220,079	\$4.87	\$673,766	\$14.92	\$453,687	\$10.04	67%		
CHIPPEWA	60,367	Yes	\$756,681	\$12.53	\$1,443,719	\$23.92	\$687,038	\$11.38	48%		
CLARK	34,453		\$148,212	\$4.30	\$576,188	\$16.72	\$427,976	\$12.42	74%		
COLUMBIA	54,940		\$445,142	\$8.10	\$755,134	\$13.74	\$309,993	\$5.64	41%		
CRAWFORD	17,493	Yes	\$215,557	\$12.32	\$359,433	\$20.55	\$143,876	\$8.22	40%		
DANE	236,562	Yes	\$2,330,220	\$9.85	\$5,139,630	\$21.73	\$2,809,410	\$11.88	55%		
Madison	221,735		\$1,251,253	\$5.64	\$6,973,977	\$31.45	\$5,722,724	\$25.81	82%		
DODGE	88,748		\$485,453	\$5.47	\$847,421	\$9.55	\$361,968	\$4.08	43%		
DOOR	29,299		\$274,169	\$9.36	\$918,011	\$31.33	\$643,842	\$21.97	70%		
DOUGLAS	43,870	Yes	\$593,093	\$13.52	\$1,450,121	\$33.05	\$857,028	\$19.54	59%		
DUNN	42,208		\$747,556	\$17.71	\$1,246,352	\$29.53	\$498,796	\$11.82	40%		
EAU CLAIRE	97,142	Yes	\$1,665,836	\$17.15	\$4,042,201	\$41.61	\$2,376,365	\$24.46	59%		
FLORENCE	5,213		\$107,420	\$20.61	\$177,066	\$33.97	\$69,646	\$13.36	39%		
FOND DU LAC	100,180	Yes	\$1,646,850	\$16.44	\$2,382,153	\$23.78	\$735,303	\$7.34	31%		
FOREST	10,213		\$188,385	\$18.45	\$382,983	\$37.50	\$194,598	\$19.05	51%		
GRANT	50,664		\$1,050,698	\$20.74	\$1,205,232	\$23.79	\$154,534	\$3.05	13%		
GREEN	35,578	Yes	\$290,255	\$8.16	\$374,700	\$10.53	\$84,445	\$2.37	23%		
GREEN LAKE	19,375	Yes	\$221,093	\$11.41	\$505,862	\$26.11	\$284,769	\$14.70	56%		
IOWA	23,789		\$157,758	\$6.63	\$274,262	\$11.53	\$116,504	\$4.90	42%		
IRON	6,922		\$229,043	\$33.09	\$414,517	\$59.88	\$185,474	\$26.79	45%		
JACKSON	19,828		\$190,834	\$9.62	\$570,947	\$28.79	\$380,113	\$19.17	67%		
JEFFERSON	56,215		\$593,015	\$10.55	\$1,326,082	\$23.59	\$733,067	\$13.04	55%		
Watertown	22,973	Yes	\$299,849	\$13.05	\$429,961	\$18.72	\$130,112	\$5.66	30%		
JUNEAU	26,656	Yes	\$552,287	\$20.72	\$978,380	\$36.70	\$426,093	\$15.98	44%		
* No county hes	alth denartment										

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

^{**} Tax levy is the difference between revenues and expenses.

^{***} Agency is a fiscal agent for a multi-jurisdictional consortium for public health programs or services other than public health preparedness.

Table 1. Local Health Department Revenues and Expenses, Wisconsin 2005 (continued)											
			Revenu	es	Expens	ses	Tax	Levy **			
LHD	Population	Fiscal Agent ***	Total	Per Capita	Total	Per Capita	Total	Per Capita	% of Total Exp.		
KENOSHA	158,219	Yes	\$3,009,772	\$19.02	\$4,770,398	\$30.15	\$1,760,626	\$11.13	37%		
KEWAUNEE	21,082		\$146,384	\$6.94	\$352,863	\$16.74	\$206,479	\$9.79	59%		
LACROSSE	110,128	Yes	\$2,203,467	\$20.01	\$3,580,459	\$32.51	\$1,376,992	\$12.50	38%		
LAFAYETTE	16,312		\$210,784	\$12.92	\$513,151	\$31.46	\$302,367	\$18.54	59%		
LANGLADE	21,389		\$233,965	\$10.94	\$690,654	\$32.29	\$456,689	\$21.35	66%		
LINCOLN	30,402		\$306,193	\$10.07	\$784,660	\$25.81	\$478,467	\$15.74	61%		
MANITOWOC	84,480		\$867,233	\$10.27	\$1,858,225	\$22.00	\$990,992	\$11.73	53%		
MARATHON	131,377	Yes	\$1,328,035	\$10.11	\$3,680,478	\$28.01	\$2,352,443	\$17.91	64%		
MARINETTE	44,471		\$405,119	\$9.11	\$855,154	\$19.23	\$450,035	\$10.12	53%		
MARQUETTE	15,138		\$189,993	\$12.55	\$377,940	\$24.97	\$187,947	\$12.42	50%		
MEMINEE	4,616		\$21,594	\$4.68	\$28,510	\$6.18	\$6,916	\$1.50	24%		
MILWAUKEE*											
Cudahy	18,319	Yes	\$121,107	\$6.61	\$412,618	\$22.52	\$291,511	\$15.91	71%		
Franklin	32,548		\$151,338	\$4.65	\$502,143	\$15.43	\$350,805	\$10.78	70%		
Greendale	14,087		\$36,329	\$2.58	\$271,728	\$19.29	\$235,399	\$16.71	87%		
Greenfield	36,136		\$118,353	\$3.28	\$560,482	\$15.51	\$442,129	\$12.24	79%		
Hales Corners	7,644		\$41,790	\$5.47	\$148,677	\$19.45	\$106,887	\$13.98	72%		
Milwaukee City	592,765		\$19,724,051	\$33.27	\$30,338,487	\$51.18	\$10,614,436	\$17.91	35%		
North Shore	37,507	Yes	\$113,652	\$3.03	\$405,919	\$10.82	\$292,267	\$7.79	72%		
Oak Creek	31,497		\$76,903	\$2.44	\$502,000	\$15.94	\$425,097	\$13.50	85%		
St. Francis	8,791		\$44,102	\$5.02	\$141,959	\$16.15	\$97,857	\$11.13	69%		
Shorewood/ Whitefish Bay	27,409	Yes	\$145,096	\$5.29	\$315,392	\$11.51	\$170,296	\$6.21	54%		
South Milwaukee	21,373	Yes	\$178,723	\$8.36	\$476,128	\$22.28	\$297,405	\$13.91	62%		
Wauwatosa	46,312	Yes	\$195,282	\$4.22	\$1,086,293	\$23.46	\$891,011	\$19.24	82%		
West Allis	64,607	Yes	\$557,309	\$8.63	\$2,182,294	\$33.78	\$1,624,985	\$25.15	74%		
MONROE	43,069		\$372,240	\$8.64	\$648,061	\$15.05	\$275,821	\$6.40	43%		
OCONTO	38,243		\$318,209	\$8.32	\$674,739	\$17.64	\$356,530	\$9.32	53%		
ONEIDA	38,073		\$708,313	\$18.60	\$1,055,028	\$27.71	\$346,715	\$9.11	33%		
OUTAGAMIE	98,595		\$861,352	\$8.74	\$1,465,994	\$14.87	\$604,642	\$6.13	41%		
Appleton	72,085	Yes	\$328,661	\$4.56	\$1,048,910	\$14.55	\$720,249	\$9.99	69%		
OZAUKEE	85,787		\$420,282	\$4.90	\$1,276,891	\$14.88	\$856,609	\$9.99	67%		
PEPIN	7,596		\$273,569	\$36.01	\$475,124	\$62.55	\$201,555	\$26.53	42%		

^{*} No county health department.

^{**} Tax levy is the difference between revenues and expenses.

^{***} Agency is a fiscal agent for a multi-jurisdictional consortium for public health programs or services other than public health preparedness.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Populations shown are estimates provided by the Wisconsin Department of Administration for January 1, 2005.

Table 1. Local Health Department Revenues and Expenses, Wisconsin 2005 (continued)											
			Revenu	es	Expens	ses	Tax	Levy **			
LHD	Population	Fiscal Agent ***	Total	Per Capita	Total	Per Capita	Total	Per Capita	% of Total Exp.		
PIERCE	39,329		\$1,006,653	\$25.60	\$1,497,606	\$38.08	\$490,953	\$12.48	33%		
POLK	44,613	Yes	\$1,162,859	\$26.07	\$1,865,743	\$41.82	\$702,884	\$15.76	38%		
PORTAGE	69,365	100	\$932,534	\$13.44	\$1,358,793	\$19.59	\$426,259	\$6.15	31%		
PRICE	15,993		\$746,720	\$46.69	\$1,178,999	\$73.72	\$432,279	\$27.03	37%		
RACINE *	10,550		Ψ7.10,720	ψ.0.02	41,170, 222	Ψ,ε2	ψ .e=,= <i>r</i> >	Ψ27.02	2770		
Caledonia/ Mt. Pleasant	49,763		\$237,343	\$4.77	\$345,866	\$6.95	\$108,523	\$2.18	31%		
Racine City	82,775		\$324,342	\$3.92	\$2,664,199	\$32.19	\$2,339,857	\$28.27	88%		
Western Racine	60,701		\$614,719	\$10.13	\$657,344	\$10.83	\$42,625	\$0.70	6%		
RICHLAND	18,061		\$128,510	\$7.12	\$291,825	\$16.16	\$163,315	\$9.04	56%		
ROCK	156,994		\$952,488	\$6.07	\$2,202,804	\$14.03	\$1,250,316	\$7.96	57%		
RUSK	15,469		\$339,184	\$21.93	\$549,571	\$35.53	\$210,387	\$13.60	38%		
ST. CROIX	75,686		\$700,000	\$9.25	\$1,383,000	\$18.27	\$683,000	\$9.02	49%		
SAUK	59,266	Yes	\$591,198	\$9.98	\$1,235,964	\$20.85	\$644,766	\$10.88	52%		
SAWYER	17,146		\$444,601	\$25.93	\$444,601	\$25.93	\$150,204	\$8.76	34%		
SHAWANO	42,029	Yes	\$392,434	\$9.34	\$656,866	\$15.63	\$264,432	\$6.29	40%		
SHEBOYGAN	116,075		\$1,257,803	\$10.84	\$2,871,945	\$24.74	\$1,614,142	\$13.91	56%		
TAYLOR	19,902	Yes	\$280,192	\$14.08	\$483,385	\$24.29	\$203,193	\$10.21	42%		
TREMPEALEAU	27,975		\$311,385	\$11.13	\$679,223	\$24.28	\$367,838	\$13.15	54%		
VILAS	22,215		\$209,071	\$9.41	\$413,235	\$18.60	\$204,164	\$9.19	49%		
WALWORTH	98,496		\$495,376	\$5.03	\$1,006,747	\$10.22	\$511,371	\$5.19	51%		
WASHBURN	17,000		\$318,090	\$18.71	\$696,247	\$40.96	\$378,157	\$22.24	54%		
WASHINGTON	125,940	Yes	\$527,213	\$4.19	\$1,459,634	\$11.59	\$932,421	\$7.40	64%		
WAUKESHA	377,348		\$1,072,233	\$2.84	\$3,036,578	\$8.05	\$1,964,345	\$5.21	65%		
WAUPACA	53,351		\$930,290	\$17.44	\$1,417,587	\$26.57	\$487,297	\$9.13	34%		
WAUSHARA	24,918	Yes	\$332,759	\$13.35	\$823,682	\$33.06	\$490,923	\$19.70	60%		
WINNEBAGO	55,305		\$716,919	\$12.96	\$1,493,571	\$27.01	\$776,652	\$14.04	52%		
Menasha	17,156		\$346,609	\$20.20	\$531,994	\$31.01	\$185,385	\$10.81	35%		
Neenah	25,338		\$293,443	\$11.58	\$550,330	\$21.72	\$256,887	\$10.14	47%		
Oshkosh	65,445		\$441,737	\$6.75	\$718,717	\$10.98	\$276,980	\$4.23	39%		
WOOD	76,644		\$956,176	\$12.48	\$1,860,170	\$24.27	\$903,994	\$11.79	49%		

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

^{**} Tax levy is the difference between revenues and expenses.

^{***} Agency is a fiscal agent for a multi-jurisdictional consortium for public health programs or services other than public health preparedness.

Name	Table 2. Local Health Department Staff, Wisconsin 2005										
STATE TOTAL 5,580,757 2122 3.8 193 624 654 224 427	LHD	Population		per 10,000	istrator	Health Nurse	Profes- sional	profes- sional	Support Staff		
ADAMS 21,224 11 5.2 1 2 5 2 1 ASHLAND 16,905 4 2.4 1 3 0 0 0 BARRON 46,805 18 3.8 1 7 4 2 4 BAYFIELD 15,666 14 8.9 1 4 5 0 4 BROWN 218,094 42 1.9 6 12 14 5 5 De Pere 22,310 4 1.8 1 1 1 1 0 1 BUFFALO 11,076 11 7.8 1 1 1 1 2 6 BURNETT 16,542 11 6.6 2 3 4 1 1 2 CALUMET 45,168 13 2.9 2 5 1 2 3 CHIPPEWA 60,367 51 8.4 5 7 19 3 17 CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 3 0 1 CCLAMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 6 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 DOOGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 12 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 5 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 1 3 3 1 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 1 3 3 1 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 1 18 11 4 1 3 3 1 1 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 1 18 11 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1											
ASHLAND 16,905 4 2.4 1 3 0 0 0 BARRON 46,805 18 3.8 1 7 4 2 4 BAYFIELD 15,666 14 8.9 1 4 5 0 4 BROWN 218,094 42 1.9 6 12 14 5 0 4 BROWN 218,094 42 1.9 6 12 14 5 0 4 1.8 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1		3,300,737	2122	3.0	173	024	054	227	727		
BARRON 46,805 18 3.8 1 7 4 2 4 BAYFIELD 15,666 14 8.9 1 4 5 0 4 BROWN 218,094 42 1.9 6 12 14 5 5 De Pere 22,310 4 1.8 1 1 1 0 1 BUFFALO 14,076 11 7.8 1 1 1 2 6 BURNETT 16,542 11 6.6 2 3 4 1 1 1 2 6 CALUMET 45,168 13 2.9 2 5 1 2 3 3 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 2 3 3 0 0	ADAMS	21,224	11	5.2	1	2	5	2	1		
BAYFIELD 15,666 14 8.9 1 4 5 0 4 BROWN 218,094 42 1.9 6 12 14 5 5 De Pere 22,310 4 1.8 1 1 1 0 1 BUFFALO 114,076 11 7.8 1 1 1 0 1 BURNETT 16,542 11 6.6 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 3 4 1 1 1 3 0 0 1 3 1 1 4 3 2	ASHLAND	16,905	4	2.4	1	3	0	0	0		
BROWN 218,094 42 1.9 6 12 14 5 5 De Pere 22,310 4 1.8 1 1 1 0 1 BUFFALO 14,076 11 7.8 1 1 1 2 6 BURNETT 16,542 11 6.6 2 3 4 1 1 CALUMET 45,168 13 2.9 2 5 1 2 3 CHIPPEWA 60,367 51 8.4 5 7 19 3 17 CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 0 1 COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13	BARRON	46,805	18	3.8	1	7	4	2	4		
De Pere 22,310	BAYFIELD	15,666	14	8.9	1	4	5	0	4		
BUFFALO 14,076 11 7.8 1 1 1 2 6 BURNETT 16,542 11 6.6 2 3 4 1 1 CALUMET 45,168 13 2.9 2 5 1 2 3 CHIPPEWA 60,367 51 8.4 5 7 19 3 17 CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 0 1 COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 2 <td>BROWN</td> <td>218,094</td> <td>42</td> <td>1.9</td> <td>6</td> <td>12</td> <td>14</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td>	BROWN	218,094	42	1.9	6	12	14	5	5		
BURNETT 16,542 11 6.6 2 3 4 1 1 CALUMET 45,168 13 2.9 2 5 1 2 3 CHIPPEWA 60,367 51 8.4 5 7 19 3 17 CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 0 1 COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 0 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 </td <td>De Pere</td> <td>22,310</td> <td>4</td> <td>1.8</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>	De Pere	22,310	4	1.8	1	1	1	0	1		
CALUMET 45,168 13 2.9 2 5 1 2 3 CHIPPEWA 60,367 51 8.4 5 7 19 3 17 CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 0 1 COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 2 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4	BUFFALO	14,076	11	7.8	1	1	1	2	6		
CHIPPEWA 60,367 51 8.4 5 7 19 3 17 CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 0 1 COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 <td>BURNETT</td> <td>16,542</td> <td>11</td> <td>6.6</td> <td>2</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td>	BURNETT	16,542	11	6.6	2	3	4	1	1		
CLARK 34,453 7 2.0 0 3 3 0 1 COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 0 CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9	CALUMET	45,168	13	2.9	2	5	1	2	3		
COLUMBIA 54,940 10 1.8 1 4 3 2 C CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 <td></td> <td>60,367</td> <td>51</td> <td>8.4</td> <td>5</td> <td>7</td> <td>19</td> <td>3</td> <td>17</td>		60,367	51	8.4	5	7	19	3	17		
CRAWFORD 17,493 6 3.4 1 3 0 0 2 DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4	CLARK	34,453	7	2.0	0	3	3	0	1		
DANE 236,562 72 3.0 8 20 27 4 13 Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 1 3 GREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1	COLUMBIA	54,940	10	1.8	1	4	3	2	0		
Madison 221,735 95 4.3 10 25 32 17 11 DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 1 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1	CRAWFORD	17,493	6	3.4	1	3	0	0	2		
DODGE 88,748 13 1.5 1 6 2 3 1 DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 3 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 3 1 0 1 1 3 3	DANE	236,562	72	3.0	8	20	27	4	13		
DOOR 29,299 14 4.8 1 6 2 3 2 DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 1 0 <td< td=""><td>Madison</td><td>221,735</td><td>95</td><td>4.3</td><td>10</td><td>25</td><td>32</td><td>17</td><td>11</td></td<>	Madison	221,735	95	4.3	10	25	32	17	11		
DOUGLAS 43,870 18 4.1 2 4 6 1 5 DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 3 1 1 4 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 1 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1 3 3 1	DODGE	88,748	13	1.5	1	6	2	3	1		
DUNN 42,208 25 5.9 1 4 14 2 4 EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2	DOOR	29,299	14	4.8	1	6	2	3	2		
EAU CLAIRE 97,142 54 5.6 4 16 19 6 9 FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1	DOUGLAS	43,870	18	4.1	2	4	6	1	5		
FLORENCE 5,213 6 11.5 1 0 3 1 1 FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3 <td>DUNN</td> <td>42,208</td> <td>25</td> <td>5.9</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>14</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td>	DUNN	42,208	25	5.9	1	4	14	2	4		
FOND DU LAC 100,180 38 3.8 1 18 11 4 4 FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	EAU CLAIRE	97,142	54	5.6	4	16	19	6	9		
FOREST 10,213 10 9.8 1 1 4 1 3 GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	FLORENCE	5,213	6	11.5	1	0	3	1	1		
GRANT 50,664 24 4.7 3 5 13 1 2 GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	FOND DU LAC	100,180	38	3.8	1	18	11	4	4		
GREEN 35,578 12 3.4 1 5 2 1 3 GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	FOREST	10,213	10	9.8		1	4	1	3		
GREEN LAKE 19,375 8 4.1 1 3 3 1 0 IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	GRANT	50,664	24	4.7	3		13	1	2		
IOWA 23,789 7 2.9 1 4 1 0 1 IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	GREEN	35,578	12	3.4	1		2	1	3		
IRON 6,922 8 11.6 1 1 4 0 2 JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	GREEN LAKE	19,375		4.1	1	3	3	1	0		
JACKSON 19,828 11 5.5 2 3 3 2 1 JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3				2.9	1	4	1		1		
JEFFERSON 56,215 28 5.0 1 9 3 12 3 Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3			8						2		
Watertown 22,973 13 5.7 1 1 6 2 3	JACKSON	19,828			2				1		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	JEFFERSON	56,215	28	5.0	1	9	3	12	3		
JUNEAU 26,656 15 5.6 2 6 3 1 3	Watertown	22,973		5.7		1		2	3		
	JUNEAU	26,656	15	5.6	2	6	3	1	3		

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Populations shown are estimates provided by the Wisconsin Department of Administration for January 1, 2005.

Table 2. Local Health Department Staff, Wisconsin 2005 (continued)									
		Total	Staff per 10,000	Admin- istrator	Public Health Nurse	Other Profes- sional	Para- profes- sional	Support	
LHD	Population	Staff	Pop.	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Support	
KENOSHA	158,219	56	3.5	4	24	20	2	6	
KEWAUNEE	21,082	7	3.3	1	1	3	0	2	
LACROSSE	110,128	56	5.1	10	9	22	1	14	
LAFAYETTE	16,312	12	7.4	2	4	2	3	1	
LANGLADE	21,389	11	5.1	2	6	1	0	2	
LINCOLN	30,402	15	4.9	1	8	3	0	3	
MANITOWOC	84,480	28	3.3	2	13	5	4	4	
MARATHON	131,377	47	3.6	6	15	16	3	7	
MARINETTE	44,471	16	3.6	1	4	5	2	4	
MARQUETTE	15,138	8	5.3	1	3	1	2	1	
MENOMINEE	4,616	1	2.2	0	1	0	0	0	
MILWAUKEE*									
Cudahy	18,319	15	8.2	2	3	4	3	3	
Franklin	32,548	10	3.1	1	5	3	0	1	
Greendale	14,087	8	5.7	1	4	2	0	1	
Greenfield	36,136	9	2.5	1	4	1	0	3	
Hales Corners	7,644	5	6.5	1	2	1	0	1	
Milwaukee City	592,765	283	4.8	11	58	90	45	79	
North Shore	37,507	7.1	1.9	1	3	2.1	0	1	
Oak Creek	31,497	18	5.7	1	14	1	0	2	
St. Francis	8,791	7	8.0	1	1	2	1	2	
Shorewood/									
Whitefish Bay	27,409	7	2.6	1	1	4	0	1	
South Milwaukee	21,373	11	5.1	1	3	4	1	2	
Wauwatosa	46,312	17	3.7	2	6	7	0	2	
West Allis	64,607	34	5.3	4	10	13	2	5	
MONROE	43,069	15	3.5	2	6	2	1	4	
OCONTO	38,243	11	2.9	1	4	4	1	1	
ONEIDA	38,073	17	4.5	2	5	5	0	5	
OUTAGAMIE	98,595	25	2.5	3	9	3	7	3	
Appleton	72,085	20	2.8	1	8	8	0	3	
OZAUKEE	85,787	22	2.6	2	10	3	0	7	
PEPIN	7,596	10	13.2	0	4	2	1	3	

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Populations shown are estimates provided by the Wisconsin Department of Administration for January 1, 2005.

Table 2. Local Health Department Staff, Wisconsin 2005 (continued)								
		Staff Public Other Para-						
			per	Admin-	Health	Profes-	profes-	
		Total	10,000	istrator	Nurse	sional	sional	Support
LHD	Population	Staff	Pop.	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff
PIERCE	39,329	28	7.1	4	6	8	0	10
POLK	44,613	35	7.8	2	7	13	2	11
PORTAGE	69,365	51	7.4	6	13	7	5	20
PRICE	15,993	20	12.5	1	6	4	4	5
RACINE*								
Caledonia/								
Mt. Pleasant	49,763	8	1.6	1	1	4	2	0
Racine City	82,775	41	5.0	3	9	20	2	7
Western Racine Co.	60,701	14	2.3	2	4	3	3	2
RICHLAND	18,061	10	5.5	1	3	1	1	4
ROCK	156,994	53	3.4	3	13	32	0	5
RUSK	15,469	10	6.5	1	1	3	1	4
ST. CROIX	75,686	24	3.2	2	7	6	2	7
SAUK	59,266	18	3.0	2	5	3	4	4
SAWYER	17,146	12	7.0	1	3	1	1	6
SHEBOYGAN	116,075	34	2.9	4	15	6	2	7
TAYLOR	19,902	9	4.5	1	2	4	0	2
TREMPEALEAU	27,975	11.9	4.3	1	3	2.9	2	3
VILAS	22,215	8	3.6	1	1	4	0	2
WALWORTH	98,496	21	2.1	1	5	7	6	2
WASHBURN	17,000	12	7.1	2	4	1	0	5
WASHINGTON	125,940	25	2.0	3	10	1	6	5
WAUKESHA	377,348	50	1.3	5	20	14	4	7
WAUPACA	53,351	30	5.6	4	6	14	2	4
WAUSHARA	24,918	14	5.6	1	8	1	2	2
WINNEBAGO	55,305	28	5.1	2	10	12	0	4
Menasha	17,156	15	8.7	1	5	2	7	0
Neenah	25,338	14	5.5	1	4	4	3	2
Oshkosh	65,445	12	1.8	1	3	6	1	1
WOOD	76,644	33	4.3	1	10	13	2	7

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Staff Staf	Table 3. Local Health Department FTE (Full-Time Equivalent) Staff, Wisconsin 2005									
Staff Staf				per		Health	Profes-	profes-		
STATE TOTAL 5,580,757 1791.9 3.2 185.7 550.6 536.0 174.3 345.	THD	D 14		,					Support	
ADAMS 21,224 7.9 3.7 1.0 2.0 2.4 1.5 1. ASHLAND 16,905 5.2 3.1 1.0 3.0 1.0 0.2 0. BARRON 46,805 18.0 3.8 1.0 7.0 4.0 2.0 4. BAYFIELD 15,666 13.1 8.3 1.0 4.0 4.1 0.0 4. BROWN 218,094 39.2 1.8 6.0 10.8 12.9 5.0 4. De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.3 3. FLORENT 10,183 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.0 1.5 1.0 3. FLORENT 10,184 7.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1		_								
ASHLAND 16,905 5.2 3.1 1.0 3.0 1.0 0.2 0. BARRON 46,805 18.0 3.8 1.0 7.0 4.0 2.0 4. BAYFIELD 15,666 13.1 8.3 1.0 4.0 4.1 0.0 4. BROWN 218,094 39.2 1.8 6.0 10.8 12.9 5.0 4. De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1.0 CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7	STATE TOTAL	5,580,757	1/91.9	3.2	185./	550.6	536.0	1/4.3	345.4	
ASHLAND 16,905 5.2 3.1 1.0 3.0 1.0 0.2 0. BARRON 46,805 18.0 3.8 1.0 7.0 4.0 2.0 4. BAYFIELD 15,666 13.1 8.3 1.0 4.0 4.1 0.0 4. BROWN 218,094 39.2 1.8 6.0 10.8 12.9 5.0 4. De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1.0 CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7	ADAMS	21 224	7.0	3.7	1.0	2.0	2.4	1.5	1.0	
BARRON 46,805 18.0 3.8 1.0 7.0 4.0 2.0 4. BAYFIELD 15,666 13.1 8.3 1.0 4.0 4.1 0.0 4. BROWN 218,094 39.2 1.8 6.0 10.8 12.9 5.0 4. De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1									0.0	
BAYFIELD 15,666 13.1 8.3 1.0 4.0 4.1 0.0 4. BROWN 218,094 39.2 1.8 6.0 10.8 12.9 5.0 4. De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8									4.0	
BROWN 218,094 39.2 1.8 6.0 10.8 12.9 5.0 4. De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,56 66.2 2.8 8.0									4.0	
De Pere 22,310 3.6 1.6 1.0 0.6 1.0 0.0 1. BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHAWEY 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 1									4.5	
BUFFALO 14,076 5.2 3.7 1.0 0.8 1.0 1.4 1. BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHARK 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DOOGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.0</td></t<>									1.0	
BURNETT 16,542 10.1 6.1 2.0 2.6 3.6 0.9 1. CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOG 29,299 12.3 4.2 <									1.0	
CALUMET 45,168 9.4 2.1 2.0 4.1 0.6 1.0 1. CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 <									1.0	
CHIPPEWA 60,367 20.2 3.3 2.6 4.7 4.9 1.3 6. CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1.7</td></th<>									1.7	
CLARK 34,453 6.0 1.7 0.0 3.0 2.0 0.0 1. COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9									6.9	
COLUMBIA 54,940 8.1 1.5 1.0 3.8 2.1 1.3 0. CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2									1.0	
CRAWFORD 17,493 5.3 3.0 1.0 3.0 0.0 0.0 1. DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1									0.0	
DANE 236,562 66.2 2.8 8.0 16.1 26.6 3.5 12. Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1									1.3	
Madison 221,735 90.6 4.1 10.0 21.3 32.9 15.4 11. DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							12.1	
DODGE 88,748 10.6 1.2 1.0 5.4 1.2 2.1 1. DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4									11.0	
DOOR 29,299 12.3 4.2 1.0 5.2 2.0 2.3 1. DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 <									1.0	
DOUGLAS 43,870 13.9 3.2 2.0 4.0 4.6 0.8 2. DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 <				4.2	1.0		2.0		1.8	
DUNN 42,208 21.3 5.0 1.0 4.0 11.5 1.0 3. EAU CLAIRE 97,142 47.6 4.9 4.0 14.3 16.9 4.8 7. FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2	DOUGLAS		13.9	3.2	2.0	4.0	4.6	0.8	2.5	
FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0<	DUNN	42,208		5.0		4.0	11.5	1.0	3.7	
FLORENCE 5,213 2.7 5.2 1.0 0.0 0.7 0.0 1. FOND DU LAC 100,180 31.0 3.1 1.0 14.0 9.6 2.9 3. FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0<	EAU CLAIRE	97,142	47.6	4.9	4.0	14.3	16.9	4.8	7.7	
FOREST 10,213 6.3 6.2 1.0 1.0 1.7 1.0 1. GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.		5,213		5.2	1.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	1.0	
GRANT 50,664 15.3 3.0 3.0 4.2 5.6 1.0 1. GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	FOND DU LAC	100,180	31.0	3.1	1.0	14.0	9.6	2.9	3.5	
GREEN 35,578 9.7 2.7 1.0 5.0 0.7 0.2 2. GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	FOREST	10,213	6.3	6.2	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.0	1.6	
GREEN LAKE 19,375 6.5 3.4 1.0 2.9 1.7 1.0 0. IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	GRANT	50,664	15.3	3.0	3.0	4.2	5.6	1.0	1.5	
IOWA 23,789 4.2 1.8 1.0 2.1 0.1 0.0 1. IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	GREEN	35,578	9.7	2.7	1.0	5.0	0.7	0.2	2.8	
IRON 6,922 5.5 7.9 1.0 1.0 2.0 0.0 1. JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	GREEN LAKE	19,375	6.5	3.4	1.0	2.9	1.7	1.0	0.0	
JACKSON 19,828 8.4 4.2 2.0 2.5 1.8 1.1 1. JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	IOWA	23,789	4.2	1.8	1.0	2.1	0.1	0.0	1.0	
JEFFERSON 56,215 18.9 3.4 1.0 8.8 2.5 3.7 3.	IRON	6,922	5.5	7.9	1.0		2.0	0.0	1.5	
	JACKSON	19,828	8.4	4.2	2.0	2.5	1.8	1.1	1.0	
	JEFFERSON	56,215	18.9	3.4	1.0	8.8	2.5	3.7	3.0	
	Watertown	22,973	6.4	2.8	1.0	1.0	2.6	0.5	1.3	
JUNEAU 26,656 12.4 4.6 2.0 4.0 2.9 0.8 2.	JUNEAU	26,656	12.4	4.6	2.0	4.0	2.9	0.8	2.7	

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Populations shown are estimates provided by the Wisconsin Department of Administration for January 1, 2005.

Table 3. Local Health Department FTE Staff, Wisconsin 2005 (continued)								
			Staff		Public	Other	Para-	
			per	Admin-	Health	Profes-	profes-	
		Total	10,000	istrator	Nurse	sional	sional	Support
LHD	Population	Staff	Pop.	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff
KENOSHA	158,219	47.8	3.0	4.0	18.2	18.1	2.0	5.5
KEWAUNEE	21,082	5.4	2.5	1.0	1.0	1.8	0.0	1.6
LACROSSE	110,128	53.7	4.9	9.8	8.5	22.2	0.8	12.4
LAFAYETTE	16,312	7.6	4.6	1.2	3.0	1.3	1.1	1.0
LANGLADE	21,389	10.5	4.9	2.0	5.5	1.0	0.0	2.0
LINCOLN	30,402	12.6	4.1	1.0	6.7	2.3	0.0	2.6
MANITOWOC	84,480	23.2	2.7	2.0	11.1	3.7	3.0	3.4
MARATHON	131,377	38.4	2.9	6.0	12.7	9.7	3.0	7.0
MARINETTE	44,471	13.3	3.0	1.0	4.0	4.0	1.0	3.3
MARQUETTE	15,138	7.3	4.8	1.0	2.8	1.0	1.5	1.0
MENOMINEE	4,616	1.0	2.2	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MILWAUKEE*								
Cudahy	18,319	12.0	6.5	2.0	3.0	2.7	1.7	2.6
Franklin	32,548	6.5	2.0	1.0	4.0	0.6	0.0	1.0
Greendale	14,087	4.4	3.1	1.0	2.0	0.4	0.0	1.0
Greenfield	36,136	7.0	1.9	1.0	3.0	1.0	0.0	2.0
Hales Corners	7,644	2.3	3.0	1.0	0.8	0.2	0.0	0.3
Milwaukee City	592,765	330.1	5.6	12.0	72.6	104.0	52.0	89.5
North Shore	37,507	5.7	1.5	1.0	2.5	1.2	0.0	1.0
Oak Creek	31,497	7.4	2.3	1.0	4.6	0.1	0.0	1.7
St. Francis	8,791	2.5	2.8	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.1	0.2
Shorewood/								
Whitefish Bay	27,409	3.8	1.4	1.0	0.5	1.3	0.0	1.0
South Milwaukee	21,373	7.4	3.5	1.0	3.0	1.4	0.2	1.8
Wauwatosa	46,312	14.8	3.2	2.0	5.4	6.0	0.0	1.4
West Allis	64,607	26.9	4.2	4.0	7.9	9.4	1.4	4.3
MONROE	43,069	11.2	2.6	2.0	5.6	1.5	0.8	1.3
OCONTO	38,243	10.4	2.7	1.0	3.8	3.8	0.8	1.0
ONEIDA	38,073	15.1	4.0	2.0	4.6	3.5	0.0	5.0
OUTAGAMIE	98,595	23.0	2.3	3.0	7.5	3.0	7.0	2.5
Appleton	72,085	17.0	2.4	1.0	6.2	7.4	0.0	2.4
OZAUKEE	85,787	16.0	1.9	2.0	8.3	1.8	0.0	3.9
PEPIN	7,596	6.2	8.1	1.1	2.6	0.6	0.1	1.8

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Populations shown are estimates provided by the Wisconsin Department of Administration for January 1, 2005.

Table 3. Local Health Department FTE Staff, Wisconsin 2005 (continued)									
			Staff		Public	Other	Para-		
			per	Admin-	Health	Profes-	profes-		
		Total	10,000	istrator	Nurse	sional	sional	Support	
LHD	Population	Staff	Pop.	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	Staff	
PIERCE	39,329	21.7	5.5	3.3	4.3	6.4	0.0	7.8	
POLK	44,613	28.3	6.3	2.0	6.6	12.2	1.2	6.3	
PORTAGE	69,365	27.6	4.0	3.8	10.2	5.9	2.9	4.9	
PRICE	15,993	16.7	10.4	1.0	5.4	2.4	3.2	4.7	
Racine*									
Caledonia/									
Mt. Pleasant	49,763	5.8	1.2	1.0	1.0	2.5	1.3	0.0	
Racine City	82,775	35.8	4.3	3.0	7.4	16.8	1.8	6.8	
West Racine	60,701	9.3	1.5	2.0	2.2	2.5	1.3	1.3	
RICHLAND	18,061	5.0	2.8	1.0	2.6	0.1	0.2	1.1	
ROCK	156,994	51.8	3.3	3.0	12.5	31.3	0.0	5.0	
RUSK	15,469	6.0	3.9	1.0	1.0	2.3	1.0	0.8	
ST. CROIX	75,686	18.2	2.4	1.1	6.3	5.6	1.1	4.0	
SAUK	59,266	16.6	2.8	2.0	5.0	2.8	3.3	3.5	
SAWYER	17,146	6.9	4.0	1.0	3.0	0.5	1.0	1.4	
SHEBOYGAN	116,075	31.5	2.7	4.0	13.3	5.3	2.0	7.0	
TAYLOR	19,902	6.2	3.1	1.0	1.5	2.2	0.0	1.5	
TREMPEALEAU	27,975	10.7	3.8	1.0	2.4	2.7	1.8	2.8	
VILAS	22,215	5.3	2.4	1.0	1.0	1.7	0.0	1.6	
WALWORTH	98,496	17.4	1.8	1.0	5.0	5.4	5.0	1.0	
WASHBURN	17,000	8.8	5.2	1.2	4.0	0.4	0.0	3.2	
WASHINGTON	125,940	20.5	1.6	3.0	8.8	1.0	4.3	3.5	
WAUKESHA	377,348	39.9	1.1	5.0	18.6	6.4	2.9	7.0	
WAUPACA	53,351	21.2	4.0	3.2	3.8	10.5	0.7	3.0	
WAUSHARA	24,918	12.9	5.2	1.0	6.9	1.0	2.0	2.0	
WINNEBAGO	55,305	23.2	4.2	1.5	9.8	8.9	0.0	3.0	
Menasha	17,156	8.2	4.8	1.0	3.6	1.4	2.2	0.0	
Neenah	25,338	7.5	2.9	1.0	3.6	1.3	0.1	1.5	
Oshkosh	65,445	10.0	1.5	1.0	3.0	4.5	0.5	1.0	
WOOD	76,644	28.0	3.7	1.0	9.0	10.2	1.5	6.4	

^{*} No county health department.

County health departments appear in capital letters. Municipal health departments are indented.

The population count reflects the population in each department's jurisdiction. Populations of county LHDs in counties with municipal health departments do not include the populations of those municipalities.

Wisconsin Local Health	Department Financial Survey, 2005
1. LOCAL AGENCY INFORMATION	
Name of Local Health Department	
Name of Person Completing Survey	
Position of Person Completing Survey	
Telephone of Person Completing Survey	
E-mail of Person Completing Survey	
CONSORTIA INFORMATION	
2. Are you a fiscal agent for a	
multi-jurisdictional consortium for public	YesNo
health programs or services?	
REVENUES.	. 2007 1 1 1
	our agency in 2005. Include only your agency's
	exclude all home health care and personal care
funds. See definitions.	
3. Federal Revenue Dollars	
4. State Revenue Dollars	
5. Fees for Services	
6. Donations reported as part of your annua	al budget
7. Grants from non-governmental sources	
8. TOTAL AGENCY REVENUES	
This should be the total of all the above r	revenues.
Do not include local tax levy.	
EXPENSES	
EXPENSES.	our agency in 2005. Include only your agency's
	Exclude all home health care and personal care
expenses. See definitions.	. Exclude an nome health care and personal care
9. Personnel Expense Dollars	
10. Contracted Personnel and Services	
11. Fixed Expenses	
12. Capital Outlay/Expenditures	
13. Operations and Maintenance	
14. TOTAL AGENCY EXPENSES	
This should be the total of all the above of	expenses.
IOCAL TAVIEVY Vous agaravia la ca	I tay lavy will be colculated by taking the difference
	Il tax levy will be calculated by taking the difference
between total revenues and total expenses.	While units of government utilize different accounting

LOCAL TAX LEVY Your agency's local tax levy will be calculated by taking the difference between total revenues and total expenses. While units of government utilize different accounting methods, the data committee has decided that for the purposes of this survey, and for consistency, the difference between revenues and expenses will be defined as the amount of local support provided, or the local tax levy.

	Local Health Dep	partment Financial Survey — Defi	nitions/Examples
	Category	Definition	Examples
R E V E	Federal Dollars	Federal grant from the Federal Government directly or as a pass- through from State Government. For bioterrorism consortia funds: include only your individual agency's share of these funds.	 MCH Block Grant CDC Tobacco Funds PHHS - Block Grant CDC Breast and Cervical Cancer WIC
N U E	State Dollars	State GPR funds granted to local governments.	Childhood LeadWell Woman FundsPOCAN
S	Fees for Service	Payments received from a party for services provided. Do not report any revenues for home health care or personal care.	 License Fees Insurance Payments Medicare/Medicaid
	Donations	Monies received as a donation/gift. Only include if it is reported as part of your agency's annual budget.	> Estate Gift
	Grants from Non- Governmental Sources	Grants received from a non-governmental unit.	 CAP Fund United Way RWJ Foundation Kellogg Foundation
E X P	Personnel	Costs associated with employees, including salary/wages and benefits. Do not report any expenses for home health care or personal care.	
E N S E S	Contracted Personnel and Services	Costs associated with contracted personnel (workers not considered to be agency employees) and other contracted services. Do not report any expenses for home health care or personal care.	
	Fixed Expenses	Rent, utilities, insurance costs.	
	Capital Outlay/Expenditures	Any expenditures that meet your agency's definition of a capital expenditure.	
	Operations and Maintenance	All other expenses not included in any other area.	

Wisconsin Local Health Department Staffing Survey 2005 LOCAL AGENCY INFORMATION Name of Local Health Department Name of Person Completing Survey Position of Person Completing Survey Telephone of Person Completing Survey E-mail of Person Completing Survey STAFFING INFORMATION **Number of Staff Staffing Category/Position FTEs** (whole numbers only) Administration Administrator **Professional Staff** Behavioral/Mental Health/ATODA Specialist Disease Investigator Environmental Health Specialist **Epidemiologist** Health Planner/Researcher/Analyst Nurses Public Health Nurse Other Registered Nurse Nurse Practitioner Nutritionist Occupation Safety & Health Specialist Physician Program Specialist Public Health Dental Worker Public Health Educator Public Health Laboratory Professional Public Relations/Media Specialist Registered Sanitarian Other Environmental Health Professional Other Public Health Professional **Technical/Paraprofessional Staff** Bilingual Health Aide/Interpreter/Translator Community Outreach/Field Worker Computer Specialist Environmental Science Technician and Technologist Licensed Practical Nurse Nutrition Technician (e.g., other WIC CPA) Public Health Laboratory Specialist Other Public Health Paraprofessional **Support Staff** Administrative Business Staff Administrative Support Staff **Patient Services**

Definitions for Local Health Department Staffing Survey	
FTEs and Staff Administration	The number of FTEs is the number of full-time equivalents that your agency allocated to that position. This number can be a fraction if you have part-time people. The number of staff is the number of actual people your agency had in that position. This will always be a whole number. Count staff if there was someone in that position at any time during the year. For example, if you have 1 full-time person and 1 half-time person, you have 1.5 FTEs and 2 staff. If you have 3 full-time people, you have 3 FTEs and 3 staff. The number of FTEs will be less than or equal to the number of staff. The only exception would be if you had unfilled allocated FTEs for the entire year: the number of FTEs could then be greater than staff. Occupations in which employees set broad policies, exercise
	overall responsibility for the execution of these policies or direct individual departments or special phases of the agency's operations.
Administrator	This includes the Director; Health and Human Services Director; Public Health Deputy Director or Supervisor; Health Officer, as defined by Wisconsin Statutes, Chapter 251 and HFS 139; and other personnel who direct, supervise, plan, organize, control, and/or coordinate public health services, education, and policy in public health agencies.
Professional Staff	Occupations which require specialized and theoretical knowledge usually acquired through college training or through work experience and other training which provides comparable knowledge.
Behavioral/Mental Health/ATODA Specialist	substance abuse problems. May provide such services as individual and group therapy, crisis intervention, and social rehabilitation. May also arrange for supportive services to ease patients' return to the community. Assesses and treats persons with alcohol or drug dependency problems. May counsel individuals, families, or groups. May engage in alcohol and drug prevention programs. Emphasizes prevention and works with individuals and groups to promote optimum mental health. May help individuals deal with addictions and substance abuse; family, parenting, and marital problems; suicidal tendencies; stress management; problems with self-esteem; and issues associated with aging and mental and emotional health. Excludes psychiatrists, psychologists, social workers, marriage and family therapists and substance abuse counselors.
Disease Investigator	Assists in identifying and locating individuals or groups at risk of specified health problems and incorporating them into appropriate health promotion and disease prevention programs.
Environmental Health Specialist	Applies biological, chemical and public health principles to control, eliminate, improve, and/or prevent environmental health hazards in areas including food processing and service, sanitation, and water, air and soil contamination.

Enidamiologist	Investigates and describes the determinants and distribution of
Epidemiologist	Investigates and describes the determinants and distribution of
	disease, disability, and other health outcomes and develops the means for their prevention and control; investigates, describes, and
	analyzes the efficacy of programs and interventions.
Health Planner/Researcher/Analyst	Analyzes the efficacy of programs and interventions. Analyzes population and community health needs, analyzes and
Hearth Planner/Researcher/Analyst	
	evaluates program and policy alternatives, and develops plans for
Numana	programs, facilities and resources.
Nurses Public Health Nurse	As defined by Wissensin Administrative Code Chapter HES
Public Health Nurse	As defined by Wisconsin Administrative Code Chapter HFS 101.03(149). Applies nursing and public health principles to assess,
	develop, implement and evaluate care plans and health programs
	related to health promotion, disease prevention, and health
	protection services for individuals, families and the community.
Other Registered Nurse	Registered nurse working in a LHD who does not meet the
Other Registered Nurse	requirements of HFS 139.
Nurse Practitioner	As defined by Wisconsin Statutes, Chapter 441.
Nutritionist	Provides assessment, counseling, teaching and program
	development for individuals, groups and facilities on nutritional
	practices for health promotion and disease prevention in areas
	including nutrition and eating behaviors, food preparation, and food
	service.
Occupation Safety & Health	Reviews, evaluates and analyzes workplace environments and
Specialist	exposures and designs programs and procedures to control,
	eliminate, improve and prevent disease and injury caused by
	chemical, physical, biological and ergonomic risks to workers.
Physician	Identifies persons or groups at risk of illness or disability and
	develops, implements and evaluates programs or interventions
	designed to prevent, treat or ameliorate such risks. May provide
	direct medical services within the context of such programs.
Program Specialist	Plans, develops, implements and evaluates programs or
	interventions designed to identify persons at risk of specific health
	problems and to prevent, treat or ameliorate such problems.
Public Health Dental Worker	Plans, develops, implements and evaluates dental health programs
	to promote and maintain optimum oral health of the public.
Public Health Educator	Designs, implements, evaluates and provides consultation on
	educational programs and strategies to support and modify health-
	related behaviors of individuals, families, organizations and
	communities and to promote the effective use of health programs
	and services.
Public Health Laboratory	Plans, designs and implements laboratory procedures to identify
Professional	and quantify agents in the environment which may be hazardous to
	human health, biological agents believed to be involved in the
	etiology of diseases in animals or humans, or other physical,
	chemical or biologic hazards.
Public Relations/Media Specialist	Represents public health issues to the media and public. Acts a
	spokesperson for public health agencies. Engages in promoting or
	creating good will for public health organizations by writing or
	selecting favorable publicity material and releasing it through
	various communications media. Prepares and arranges displays,
	makes speeches and performs related publicity efforts.
Registered Sanitarian	As defined by Wisconsin Administrative Code, Chapter HFS 160.

Other Environmental Health	Any environmental health professional not included above: please
Professional	list and define.
Other Public Health Professional	Any professional staff not included above: please list and define.
Technical/Paraprofessional Staff	Occupations which require a combination of basic scientific or
	technical knowledge and manual skill which can be obtained
	through specialized post-secondary school
	education or through equivalent on-the-job training.
Bilingual Health	A public health worker from an indigenous community who is
Aide/Interpreter/Translator	trained on-the-job to work under the close supervision of public
	health professionals to provide public health services, including
	interpretation for clients who do not speak English.
Community Outreach/Field Worker	Assists public health professionals in the community with contact,
	referrals or program development.
Computer Specialist	Manages specialized technical aspects of computer operation,
_ ^	applications, operating systems and hardware.
Environmental Science Technician	Assists Environmental Scientists and Specialists and other
and Technologist	environmental health professionals in the elimination, control
	and/or prevention of environmental health hazards.
Licensed Practical Nurse	As defined by Wisconsin Statutes, Chapter 441.
Nutrition Technician (e.g. ,Other	Works under the close supervision of a public health nutritionist to
WIC CPA)	provide routine technical support services in public health agency
	clinics, including nutrition education, screening, recordkeeping and
	outreach.
Public Health Laboratory Specialist	Plans, performs and evaluates laboratory analyses and procedures
	and is not elsewhere classified.
Other Public Health	Anything not included above: please list and define.
Paraprofessional	
Support Staff	The following classifications represent worker categories
	generally considered support staff, providing assistance in
	general areas of agency operations and management. They are
	not specific to public health.
Administrative Business Staff	Performs support work in areas of business and financial
	operations.
Administrative Support Staff	Performs non-technical support work in all areas of management
	and program administration.
Patient Services	Performs duties that support the direct care of individual clients,
	e.g., nurse aide or assistant or patient care aide.
	• •