

National Assistive Technology Act Data System

Annual Progress Report - Full Report

Wisconsin 2022

General Information

Statewide AT Program (Information to be listed in national State AT Program Directory)

State AT Program Title:VState AT Program Title:State AT Program URLMailing Address:1City:MState:VZip Code:5Program Email:EPhone:6TTY:5

WisTech Program

https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/wistech/index.htm 1 W. Wilson St., Room 551, PO Box 2659 Madison Wisconsin 53703 DHSWistech@dhs.wisconsin.gov 608-514-2513

Lead Agency

| Agency Name: | |
|---|--|
| Wisconsin Department of Health Services - Burea | u of Aging and Disability Resources |
| Mailing Address: | 1 W. Wilson St., Room 551, PO Box 2659 |
| City: | Madison |
| State: | Wisconsin |
| Zip Code: | 53703 |
| Program URL: | https://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/dph/badr.htm |

Implementing Entity

Does your Lead Agency contract with an Implementing Entity to carry out the Statewide AT Program on its behalf? (Check if Yes)

Name of Implementing Agency: Mailing Address: City State: Zip Code: Program URL:

Program Director and Other Contacts

| Program Director for State AT Program (last, first): | Plummer, Laura |
|--|--|
| Title: | Assistive Technology Program Coordinator |
| Phone: | 608-514-2513 |
| E-mail: | laura.plummer1@dhs.wisconsin.gov |
| Program Director at Lead Agency (last, first): | Plummer, Laura |
| Title: | Assistive Technology Program Coordinator |
| Phone: | 608-514-2513 |
| E-mail: | laura.plummer1@dhs.wisconsin.gov |
| Primary Contact at Implementing Agency (last, first | t) |
| - If applicable: | |
| Title: | |
| Phone: | |
| E-mail: | |

Person Responsible for completing this form if other than Program Director

| Sobczyk, Lisa |
|--------------------------------|
| Social Services Supervisor |
| 608-266-9354 |
| lisa.sobczyk@dhs.wisconsin.gov |
| |

Certifying Representative

| Name (last, first): | |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Title: | |
| Phone: | |
| E-mail: | |
| Carrie.Molke@dhs.wisconsin.gov | |

Molke, Carrie Bureau Director 608-267-5267

State Financing

| Did your approved state plan for this reporting period include any State Financing? | Yes | |
|---|-----|--|
| Did your approved state plan for this reporting period include conducting a Financial Loan Program? | Yes | |

| Loan Applications | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------|
| | Area of Residence | | |
| | Metro RUCC 1-3 | Non-Metro RUCC 4-9 | Total |
| Approved Loan made | 11 | 03 | 14 |
| Approved Not made | 01 | 00 | 01 |
| Rejected | 06 | 02 | 08 |
| Total | 18 | 05 | 23 |

2. Income of Applicants to Whom Loans Were Made

| Lowest/Highest Incomes | | | |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------|----------|
| Lowest Income: \$11,685 | | Highest Income: | \$98,914 |

| Average Income | | |
|----------------|------------|-----------------------|
| Sum of Incomes | Loans Made | Average Annual Income |
| \$591,443 | 3 14 | \$42,246 |

| Number and Percentage of Loans Made to Applicants by Income Range | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|
| | Income Ranges | | | | | | |
| | \$15,000 or Less | \$15,001- \$30,000 | \$30,001- \$45,000 | \$45,001- \$60,000 | \$60,001- \$75,000 | \$75,001 or More | Total |
| Number of Loans | 03 | 03 | 02 | 05 | 00 | 01 | 14 |
| Percentage of Loans | 21.43% | 21.43% | 14.29% | 35.71% | 0% | 7.14% | 100% |

3. Loan Type

| Type of Loan | Number of Loans | Percentage of loans |
|--|-----------------|---------------------|
| Revolving Loans | 00 | 0% |
| Partnership Loans | | |
| Without interest buy-down or loan guarantee | 00 | 0% |
| With interest buy-down only | 00 | 0% |
| With loan guarantee only | 14 | 100% |
| With both interest buy-down and loan guarantee | 00 | 0% |
| Total | 14 | 100% |

| Loan Type Summary | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--|
| Type of Loan | Number of Loans | Dollar Value of Loans | |
| Revolving Loans | 00 | \$0 | |
| Partnership Loans | 14 | \$128,845 | |
| Total | 14 | \$128,845 | |

4. Interest Rates

| Interest Rates | | |
|----------------|----|--|
| Lowest | 4% | |
| Highest | 4% | |

| Interest Rate Summary | | |
|-----------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| Sum of Interest Rates | Number of Loans Made | Average Interest Rate |

| | 1 | 1 |
|----|-----|-----|
| 50 | 4.4 | 40/ |
| 50 | 14 | 4% |
| | | |

| Number of Loans Made by Interest Rate | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Interest Rate | Number of loans | |
| 0.0% to 2.0% | 00 | |
| 2.1% to 4.0% | 14 | |
| 4.1% to 6.0% | 00 | |
| 6.1% to 8.0% | 00 | |
| 8.1% - 10.0% | 00 | |
| 10.1%-12.0% | 00 | |
| 12.1%-14.0% | 00 | |
| 14.1%+ | 00 | |
| Total | 14 | |

5. Types and Dollar Amounts of AT Financed

| Types and Dollar Amounts of AT Financed | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Type of AT | Number of Devices Financed | Dollar Value of Loans | | |
| Vision | 00 | \$0 | | |
| Hearing | 01 | \$3,500 | | |
| Speech communication | 00 | \$0 | | |
| Learning, cognition, and developmental | 00 | \$0 | | |
| Mobility, seating and positioning | 02 | \$13,400 | | |

| Daily living | 04 | \$31,437 |
|---|----|-----------|
| Environmental adaptations | 00 | \$0 |
| Vehicle modification and transportation | 04 | \$77,700 |
| Computers and related | 03 | \$2,808 |
| Recreation, sports, and leisure | 00 | \$0 |
| Total | 14 | \$128,845 |

6. Defaults

| Defaults | |
|-------------------------------|---------|
| Number Loans in default | 03 |
| Net loss for loans in default | \$4,151 |

B. State Financing Activities that provide consumers with resources and services that result in the acquisition of AT devices and services

1. Overview of Activities Performed

How many other state financing activities that provide consumers with access to funds for the purchase of AT devices and services were included in your approved state plan?

Activity 1

| w would you describe this state financing activity? | Telecommunications equipment distribution |
|---|---|
|---|---|

2. Geographic Distribution, Number of Individuals Who Acquired AT Devices and Services and Number for whom Performance Measure Data are Collected

| County of Residence | Individuals Served |
|-------------------------|--------------------|
| A. Metro (RUCC 1-3) | 800 |
| B. Non-Metro (RUCC 4-9) | 746 |
| C. Total Served | 1546 |

| Performance Measure | |
|---|---|
| D. Excluded from Performance Measure | 0 |
| E. Number of Individuals Included in Performance Measures | |

If a number is reported in D you must provide a description of the reason the individuals are excluded from the performance measure:

3. Types and Dollar Amounts of AT Funded

| Type of AT Device / Service | Number of Devices Funded | Value of AT Provided |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Vision | 50 | \$26,312 |
| Hearing | 2535 | \$545,926 |
| Speech communication | 282 | \$144,462 |
| Learning, cognition, and developmental | 0 | \$0 |
| Mobility, seating and positioning | 0 | \$0 |
| Daily living | 0 | \$0 |
| Environmental adaptations | 0 | \$0 |
| Vehicle modification and transportation | 0 | \$0 |
| Computers and related | 154 | \$77,187 |
| Recreation, sports, and leisure | 0 | \$0 |
| Total | 3021 | \$793,887 |

C. State Financing Activities that Allow Consumers to Obtain AT at Reduced Cost

1. Overview of Activities Performed

D. Anecdote

WisLoan, Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech) alternative financing program, assisted a consumer with obtaining a trailer hitch for his vehicle. This individual utilizes a wheelchair and transports it with a small trailer. His current vehicle did not have a trailer hitch which meant he had to rely upon others for transportation. The consumer applied for and received a WisLoan for the purchase and installation of a trailer hitch on his vehicle. He shared that this has greatly increased his ability to be independent with appointments and social activities. This individual was also able to accelerate his payments on the loan due to the low interest rate.

Impact Area

○ Education ○ Employment ○ Community Living

-Impact Area

 \bigcirc Education \bigcirc Employment \bigcirc Community Living

| Performance Measures | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|---------------------|-------|
| Response | Primary | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | |
| | Education | Employment | Community Living | Total |
| 1. Could only afford the AT through the AT program. | 01 | 00 | 1,559 | 1,560 |
| 2. AT was only available through the AT program. | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 3. AT was available through other programs, but the system was too complex or the wait time too long. | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 4. Subtotal | 01 | 00 | 1,559 | 1,560 |
| 5. None of the above | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| 6. Subtotal | 01 | 00 | 1,559 | 1,560 |

E. Performance Measures

| 7. Nonrespondent | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
|--------------------------------|------|------|-------|-------|
| 8. Total | 01 | 00 | 1,559 | 1,560 |
| 9. Performance on this measure | 100% | NaN% | 100% | |

F. Customer Satisfaction

| Satisfaction | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| Customer Rating of Services | Number of Customers | Percent | |
| Highly satisfied | 180 | 11.54% | |
| Satisfied | 173 | 11.09% | |
| Satisfied somewhat | 00 | 0% | |
| Not at all satisfied | 00 | 0% | |
| Nonrespondent | 1,207 | 77.37% | |
| Total Surveyed | 1,560 | | |
| Response rate % | 22.63% | | |

G. Notes:

Reutilization

✓ Did your approved State Plan for this reporting period included conducting any device reuse activities?

A. Number of Recipients of Reused Devices

| Activity | Number of Individuals Receiving a Device from Activity |
|--|--|
| A. Device Exchange | 34 |
| B. Device Refurbish/Repair - Reassign and/or Open Ended Loan | 905 |
| C. Total | 939 |

| Performance Measure | |
|---|----------|
| D. Excluded from Performance Measure because AT is provided to or on behalf of an entity that has an obligation provide the AT such as schools under IDEA or VR agencies/clients. | on to 00 |
| E. Number of Individuals Included in Performance Measures | 939 |

If a number is reported in D you must provide a description of the reason the individuals are excluded from the performance

B. Device Exchange Activities

| | | Device Exchange | | |
|--|--------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|
| Type of AT Device | Number of Devices Exchanged | Total Estimated Current Purchase Price | Total Price for Which Device(s) Were Exchanged | Savings to Consumers |
| Vision | 01 | \$199 | \$0 | \$19 |
| Hearing | 01 | \$150 | \$0 | \$15 |
| Speech Communication | 19 | \$31,300 | \$3,125 | \$28,17 |
| Learning, Cognition and Developmental | 13 | \$6,835 | \$990 | \$5,84 |
| Mobility, Seating and Positioning | 02 | \$4,500 | \$2,400 | \$2,10 |
| Daily Living | 01 | \$1,500 | \$0 | \$1,50 |
| Environmental Adaptations | 00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$ |
| Vehicle Modification & Transportation | 00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$(|
| Computers and Related | 00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Recreation, Sports and Leisure | 00 | \$0 | \$0 | \$(|
| Total | 37 | \$44,484 | \$6,515 | \$37,96 |

C. Device Refurbish/Repair - Reassignment and/or Open Ended Loan Activities

| | Device Reassign/Re | pair/Refurbish and/or | OEL | |
|--|--------------------|-----------------------|-----|--|
| | | | | |

| Type of AT Device | Number of Devices Reassigned/Refurbished and Repaired | Total Estimated Current Purchase Price | Total Price for Which Device(s) Were Sold | Savings to Consumers |
|--|---|--|--|-------------------------|
| Vision | 557 | \$49,705 | \$0 | \$49,705 |
| Hearing | 87 | \$16,215 | \$0 | \$16,215 |
| Speech Communication | 05 | \$521 | \$0 | \$521 |
| Learning, Cognition and Developmental | 04 | \$161 | \$0 | \$161 |
| Mobility, Seating and Positioning | 467 | \$134,767 | \$13,945 | \$120,822 |
| Daily Living | 119 | \$12,519 | \$48 | \$12,471 |
| Environmental Adaptations | 17 | \$5,826 | \$0 | \$5,826 |
| Vehicle Modification & Transportation | 05 | \$3,893 | \$0 | \$3,893 |
| Computers and Related | 23 | \$3,348 | \$0 | \$3,348 |
| Recreation, Sports and Leisure | 03 | \$645 | \$0 | \$645 |
| Total | 1,287 | \$227,600 | \$13,993 | \$213,607 |

D. Anecdote

indiGO, a Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech) subcontractor, received a walking stick that was donated from an indiGO board member who wanted someone else to benefit from the device. indiGO's staff was working with a Native American woman who had difficulty with accessing the outdoors due to her physical health limitations. She also experienced fear and worry about being outside, especially in the winter with snow and ice. Staff from indiGO provided a demonstration of the walking stick with a non-slip cane tip and was able to provide this device to her as a donation. The consumer reported that she felt safe walking with the walking stick and cane prong. She shared that her physical health has improved and she has less anxiety about going for walks outside.

Impact Area

 \bigcirc Education \bigcirc Employment \bigcirc Community Living

| Performance Measures | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|---------------------|-------|
| Deservers | Primary | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | |
| Response | Education | Employment | Community Living | Total |
| 1. Could only afford the AT through the AT program. | 01 | 00 | 463 | 464 |
| 2. AT was only available through the AT program. | 02 | 02 | 129 | 133 |
| 3. AT was available through other programs, but the system was too complex or the wait time too long. | 01 | 01 | 15 | 17 |
| 4. Subtotal | 04 | 03 | 607 | 614 |
| 5. None of the above | 00 | 00 | 01 | 01 |
| 6. Subtotal | 04 | 03 | 608 | 615 |
| 7. Nonrespondent | 00 | 00 | 324 | 324 |
| 8. Total | 04 | 03 | 932 | 939 |
| 9. Performance on this measure | 100% | 100% | 65.13% | |

F. Customer Satisfaction

| Satisfaction | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|--|
| Customer Rating of Services | Number of Customers | Percent | |
| Highly satisfied | 271 | 28.86% | |
| Satisfied | 342 | 36.42% | |
| Satisfied somewhat | 02 | 0.21% | |
| Not at all satisfied | 00 | 0% | |

| Nonrespondent | 324 | 34.5% |
|-----------------|-------|-------|
| Total Surveyed | 939 | |
| Response rate % | 65.5% | |

G. Notes:

Device Loan

✓ Did your approved State Plan for this reporting period included conducting Short-Term Device Loans?

A. Short-Term Device Loans by Type of Purpose

| Loans By Purpose | | |
|---|--------|--|
| Primary Purpose of Short-Term Device Loan | Number | |
| Assist in decision-making (device trial or evaluation) | 470 | |
| Serve as loaner during service repair or while waiting for funding | 112 | |
| Provide an accommodation on a short-term basis for a time-limited event/situation | 484 | |
| Conduct training, self-education or other professional development activity | 11 | |
| Total | 1,077 | |

B. Short-Term Device Loan by Type of Borrower

| LOANS BY TYPE OF BORROWER | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------------|-------|--|--|
| Type of Individual or Entity | Number of Device Borrowers | | | | |
| Type of Individual or Entity | Desicion-making | All other Purposes | Total | | |
| Individuals with Disabilities | 373 | 490 | 863 | | |
| Family Members, Guardians, and Authorized Representatives | 60 | 88 | 148 | | |
| | | | | | |

| Representative of Education | 10 | 04 | 14 |
|--|-----|-----|-------|
| Representative of Employment | 01 | 01 | 02 |
| Representatives of Health, Allied Health, and Rehabilitation | 11 | 08 | 19 |
| Representatives of Community Living | 10 | 14 | 24 |
| Representatives of Technology | 05 | 02 | 07 |
| Total | 470 | 607 | 1,077 |

C. Length of Short-Term Device Loans

| Length of Short-Term Device Loan in Days | 30 |
|--|----|
|--|----|

D. Types of Devices Loaned

| Type of AT Device | Number of Devices | | |
|---|-------------------|--------------------|-------|
| | Desicion-making | All other Purposes | Total |
| Vision | 71 | 10 | 81 |
| Hearing | 201 | 201 30 | |
| Speech Communication | 10 00 | | 10 |
| Learning, Cognition and Developmental | 16 04 | | 20 |
| Mobility, Seating and Positioning | 110 | 394 | 504 |
| Daily Living | 177 | 238 | 415 |
| Environmental Adaptations | 32 | 108 | 140 |
| Vehicle Modification and Transportation | 08 | 06 | 14 |

| Computers and Related | 77 | 21 | 98 |
|--------------------------------|-----|-----|-------|
| Recreation, Sports and Leisure | 42 | 17 | 59 |
| Total | 744 | 828 | 1,572 |

E. Anecdote

A woman who was experiencing a decline in her ability to function independently due to tremors, reached out for assistive technology assistance with communication and daily living tasks. The woman's primary physician addressed medication solutions for the tremors, but no additional options were available due to the complexity of her disabilities. Her speech was difficult for others to understand due to the tremors and she was hoping to identify some assistive technology to assist with communication. She and her spouse also identified some daily living tasks that she also needed help with. To address her communication needs, the woman borrowed two different voice amplifiers. She had identified that if she used a whisper that the tremors had less of an impact on communication. Working with the Center for Independent Living staff, the device loan of the voice amplifiers was not successful in meeting her needs. These results however provided the justification needed for her health insurance to cover deep brain stimulation for her tremors. To address some of the limitations she was having with her daily living activities, she then borrowed weighted silverware and a large water bottle. Both the weighted silverware and water bottle were assistive technology devices that meet her needs, so she decided to purchase the items. The consumer reports that her energy level is better with greater access to nutrition and hydration.

– Impact Area –

○ Education ○ Employment ○ Community Living

F. Access Performance Measures

| Access Performa | nce Measure | es | | |
|--|--|------------|------------------|-------|
| _ | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | ich AT is Needed | Total |
| Response | Education | Employment | Community Living | IOLAI |
| Decided that AT device/service will meet needs | 17 | 24 | 245 | 286 |
| Decided that an AT device/ service will not meet needs | 01 | 05 | 140 | 146 |
| Subtotal | 18 | 29 | 385 | 432 |

| Have not made a decision | 03 | 05 | 30 | 38 |
|-----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|-----|
| Subtotal | 21 | 34 | 415 | 470 |
| Nonrespondent | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Total | 21 | 34 | 415 | 470 |
| Performance on this measure | 85.71% | 85.29% | 92.77% | |

G. Acquisition Performance Measures

| Acquisition Performance Meas | ures | | | |
|---|-----------|---|---------------------|-------|
| Deserver | | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | |
| Response | Education | Employment | Community Living | Total |
| 1. Could only afford the AT through the AT program. | 04 | 04 | 247 | 255 |
| 2. AT was only available through the AT program. | 03 | 02 | 314 | 319 |
| 3. AT was available through other programs, but the system was too complex or the wait time too long. | 00 | 03 | 26 | 29 |
| 4. Subtotal | 07 | 09 | 587 | 603 |
| 5. None of the above | 00 | 00 | 03 | 03 |
| 6. Subtotal | 07 | 09 | 590 | 606 |
| 7. Nonrespondent | 00 | 00 | 01 | 01 |
| 8. Total | 07 | 09 | 591 | 607 |
| 9. Performance on this measure | 100% | 100% | 99.49% | |

H. Customer Satisfaction

| Customer Rating of Services | Number of Customers | Percent |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|
| Highly satisfied | 754 | 70.01% |
| Satisfied | 249 | 23.12% |
| Satisfied somewhat | 50 | 4.64% |
| Not at all satisfied | 10 | 0.93% |
| Nonrespondent | 14 | 1.3% |
| Total Surveyed | 1,077 | |
| Response rate % | 98.7% | |

I. Notes:

Device Demonstration

A. Number of Device Demonstrations by Device Type

| Type of AT Device / Service | Number of Demonstrations of AT Devices / Services |
|---|---|
| Vision | 48 |
| Hearing | 100 |
| Speech Communication | 17 |
| Learning, Cognition and Developmental | 21 |
| Mobility, Seating and Positioning | 92 |
| Daily Living | 161 |
| Environmental Adaptations | 62 |
| Vehicle Modification and Transportation | 05 |
| | 102 |

| Computers and Related | |
|----------------------------------|-----|
| Recreation, Sports and Leisure | 54 |
| Total # of Device Demonstrations | 662 |

B. Types of Participants

| Type of Participant | Decision-Makers | Other Participants | Total |
|---|-----------------|--------------------|-------|
| Individuals with Disabilities | 521 | 573 | 1,094 |
| Family Members, Guardians, and Authorized Representatives | 111 | 371 | 482 |
| Representatives of Education | 04 | 07 | 11 |
| Representatives of Employment | 00 | 01 | 01 |
| Health, Allied Health, Rehabilitation | 05 | 14 | 19 |
| Representative of Community Living | 17 | 56 | 73 |
| Representative of Technology | 04 | 09 | 13 |
| Total | 662 | 1,031 | 1,693 |

C. Number of Referrals

| Referrals | |
|---------------------------------|---------------------|
| Type of Entity | Number of Referrals |
| Funding Source (non-AT program) | 272 |
| Service Provider | 130 |
| Vendor | 51 |
| Repair Service | 01 |
| Others | 20 |

| Total |
|-------|
|-------|

D. Anecdote

A consumer with a learning disability had learned about a reading device that could assist with reading text or print-based information out loud. She felt it would be useful at work, so a demonstration of the requested device was arranged through her local Independent Living Center. This demonstration revealed that this device, as well as similar products, would not be able to accommodate her need for text-tospeech technology. The results of the demonstration provided justification to her vocational rehabilitation counselor that a more advanced type of assistive technology would be necessary to maintain employment. The vocational rehabilitation counselor appreciated the opportunity for the device demonstration since it assisted their agency with utilizing funds to support a solution that met the employment needs versus something that did not.

– Impact Area –

E. Performance Measures

| Performance Measures | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|------------------|-------|
| Bernard | Primary | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | |
| Response | Education | Employment | Community Living | Total |
| Decided that AT device/service will meet needs | 28 | 60 | 407 | 495 |
| Decided that an AT device/ service will not meet needs | 02 | 06 | 65 | 73 |
| Subtotal | 30 | 66 | 472 | 568 |
| Have not made a decision | 04 | 04 | 86 | 94 |
| Subtotal | 34 | 70 | 558 | 662 |
| Nonrespondent | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 |
| Total | 34 | 70 | 558 | 662 |
| | | | | |

474

F. Customer Satisfaction

| Satisfaction | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|---------|--|--|
| Customer Rating of Services | Number of Customers | Percent | | |
| Highly satisfied | 1,301 | 76.85% | | |
| Satisfied | 268 | 15.83% | | |
| Satisfied somewhat | 77 | 4.55% | | |
| Not at all satisfied | 37 | 2.19% | | |
| Nonrespondent | 10 | 0.59% | | |
| Total | 1,693 | | | |
| Response rate % | 99.41% | | | |

G. Notes:

Overall Performance Measures

Overall Acquisition Performance Measure

| Acquisition Performance Measures | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|---------------------|-------|
| _ | | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | |
| Response | Education | Employment | Community Living | Total |
| 1. Could only afford the AT through the AT program. | 06 | 04 | 2,269 | 2,279 |
| 2. AT was only available through the AT program. | 05 | 04 | 443 | 452 |
| 3. AT was available through other programs, but the system was too complex or the wait time too long. | 01 | 04 | 41 | 46 |

| 12 | 12 | 2,753 | 2,777 |
|--------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|---|
| 00 | 00 | 04 | 04 |
| 12 | 12 | 2,757 | 2,781 |
| 00 | 00 | 325 | 325 |
| 12 | 12 | 3,082 | 3,106 |
| 91.67% | 66.67% | 88.02% | 87.95% |
| | | | 85% |
| | | | Met |
| | 00 12 00 12 | 00 00 12 12 00 00 12 12 12 12 | 00 00 04 12 12 2,757 00 00 325 12 12 3,082 91.67% 66.67% 88.02% |

Overall Access Performance Measure

| Access Performance Measures | | | | | |
|--|-----------|--|------------------|--------|--|
| D | Primary | Primary Purpose for Which AT is Needed | | | |
| Response | Education | Employment | Community Living | Total | |
| Decided that AT device/service will meet needs | 45 | 84 | 652 | 781 | |
| Decided that an AT device/ service will not meet needs | 03 | 11 | 205 | 219 | |
| Subtotal | 48 | 95 | 857 | 1,000 | |
| Have not made a decision | 07 | 09 | 116 | 132 | |
| Subtotal | 55 | 104 | 973 | 1,132 | |
| Nonrespondent | 00 | 00 | 00 | 00 | |
| Total | 55 | 104 | 973 | 1,132 | |
| Performance on this measure | 87.27% | 91.35% | 88.08% | 88.34% | |

| ACL Performance Measure | 90% |
|-------------------------|---------|
| Met/Not Met | Not Met |

Overall Satisfaction Rating

| Customer Rating of Services | Percent | ACL Target | Met/Not Met |
|--------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Highly satisfied and satisfied | 95.26% | 95% | Met |
| Response Rate | 70.49% | 90% | Not Met |

Training

A. Training Participants: Number and Types of Participants; Geographical Distribution

| Training by Participant Type | | |
|--|--------|--|
| Type of Participant | Number | |
| Individuals with Disabilities | 1,052 | |
| Family Members, Guardians and Authorized Representatives | 164 | |
| Representatives of Education | 204 | |
| Representatives of Employment | 152 | |
| Rep Health, Allied Health, and Rehabilitation | 897 | |
| Representatives of Community Living | 707 | |
| Representatives of Technology | 79 | |
| Unable to Categorize | 44 | |
| TOTAL | 3,299 | |

| Metro | Non Metro | | Unknown | TOTAL |
|-------|-----------|-------|---------|-------|
| 1,8 | 53 | 1,114 | 332 | 3,299 |

B. Training Topics

| Trainings by Topic | | |
|---|--------------|--|
| Primary Topic of Training | Participants | |
| AT Products/Services | 398 | |
| AT Funding/Policy/ Practice | 92 | |
| Combination of any/all of the above | 2,073 | |
| Information Technology/Telecommunication Access | 731 | |
| Transition | 05 | |
| Total | 3,299 | |

C. Description of Training Activities

Describe innovative one high-impact assistance training activity conducted during the reporting period:

Three Occupational Therapy (OT) programs have incorporated an assistive technology (AT) training session within their academic curriculum. The OT students from Marquette University, St. Mary University, and the University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee all receive in-depth training from Independence First, a subcontractor for Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech), on assistive technology. This training includes a review of AT solutions and a hands-on experience utilizing over 200 AT devices. This training impacts future OT providers and ensures that knowledge of AT devices and resources will be provided for any patients that they work with in the future. Graduates from the program generally work throughout the state (and beyond) in a variety of clinical settings.

Briefly describe one training activity related to transition conducted during the reporting period:

The Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) Association Patient Support Group based in the Milwaukee area received a virtual training from staff with Independence First, a subcontractor for Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech). The focus of this training was to identify solutions and strategies that would benefit individuals with ALS who are planning to remain living at home or for those who are in transition from a hospital or rehabilitation stay. The AT solutions and strategies shared also have the potential for preventative placement in a long-term care facility.

Briefly describe one training activity related to Information and Communication Technology accessibility:

Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech), part of the Bureau of Aging and Disability Resources within the Wisconsin Department of Health Services, conducted an Information and Communications Technology (ICT) training for the entire staff of the Division of Public Health (DPH). This opportunity to increase awareness and skillsets around accessible information will drastically improve access to public health information and services on a statewide basis. For example, the DPH is responsible for all of the education efforts around COVID-19 including testing, vaccines, and a new tele-health program that assists Wisconsin residents with anti-viral medications following a positive COVID-19 test result. The ICT training addressed how to create accessible documents in Microsoft Word, PowerPoint, and PDF. Division staff were also made aware of best practices around procurement and the inclusion contract language with external partners or vendors to ensure that sourcing for public health programs and services include accessibility language.

D. IT/Telecommunications Training Performance Measure

| IT/Telecommunications Training Performance Measure | | |
|---|--------|--|
| Outcome/Result From IT/Telecommunications Training Received | Number | |
| IT and Telecommunications Procurement or Dev Policies | 692 | |
| Training or Technical Assistance will be developed or implemented | 23 | |
| No known outcome at this time | 16 | |
| Nonrespondent | 00 | |
| Total | 731 | |
| Performance Measure Percentage | 97.8% | |
| ACL Target Percentage | 70% | |
| Met/Not Met | Met | |

E. Notes:

Technical Assistance

A. Frequency and Nature of Technical Assistance

| Technical Assistance by Recipient Type | | |
|--|-----|--|
| Education | 16% | |
| | 00% | |

| Employment | |
|---------------------------------------|------|
| Health, Allied Health, Rehabilitation | 01% |
| Community Living | 82% |
| Technology (IT, Telecom, AT) | 01% |
| Total | 100% |

B. Description of Technical Assistance

Describe Innovative one high-impact assistance activity that is not related to transition:

A local medical equipment manufacturer contacted Independence First, subcontractor for Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech), regarding their work with prototyping a manual wheelchair with a lift seat. Staff at Independence First were asked to demonstrate the prototype device to consumers and solicit feedback. The manufacturer will be utilizing this consumer feedback to modify their design and will continue to gather consumer input as their product development continues.

Breifly describe one technical assistance activity related to transition conducted during the reporting period:

The University of Wisconsin – Milwaukee is developing a smart phone/tablet application (app) to assist occupational therapists, consumers, and family members with identifying the barriers that impact discharge from a facility or managed care setting after acquiring a disability. The goal is that the app will guide the introduction and selection of assistive technology solutions specific to an individual patient. For example, the app may be used as part of discharge planning for a patient who has had a stroke, lives alone and is returning home. The app could address concerns for this patient in the areas of food preparation, eating, dressing, and other activities of daily living. The meeting included a review of the app by staff from Independence First, subcontractor for Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech), using a consumer and family member point of view. Key feedback provided to the developers included utilizing plain language versus medical terminology.

C. Notes:

Public Awareness

Public Awareness Activities

Public Awareness Narratives

Describe in detail at least one and no more than two innovative or high-impact public awareness activities conducted during this reporting period. Highlight the content/focus of the awareness information shared, the mechanism used to disseminate or communicate the awareness information, the numbers and/or types of individuals reached, and positive outcomes resulting from the activity. If quantative numbers are available regarding the reach of the activity, please provide those: however, quantative data is not required.

1. Midstate Independent Living Choices (MILC), a subcontractor for Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech), hosted an adapted ice-skating event at a local ice arena in Stevens Point, Wisconsin. Participants had access to equipment such as adapted sleds, hockey sticks, walkers, and canes to try ice skating. The event included a significant amount of public awareness through conversations with people with disabilities, family members, and the community in general. MILC was able

to increase awareness of their services and the WisTech short-term device loan and demonstration program. The local news channel was also available to provide media coverage.

2. Adaptive gaming and eSports are a rapidly developing area of assistive technology, both devices and services. Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech) and the Center for Independent Living for Western Wisconsin (CILWW), a WisTech subcontractor, have been working to increase awareness about resources and options for accessible gaming. This includes involving a staff member from CILWW, who serves on statewide and national groups, as an expert on accessible gaming. This staff member's online presence on listservs, streaming channels, and social media platforms has led to greater awareness for game developers and gamers themselves regarding inclusion for people with disabilities. WisTech built upon this interest in adaptive gaming by conducting a statewide, introductory webinar on this topic.

Information And Assistance

| Information And Assistance Activities by Recipient | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|------------|--------|--|
| Types of Recipients | AT Device/ Service | AT Funding | Total | |
| Individuals with Disabilities | 2,276 | 447 | 2,723 | |
| Family Members, Guardians and Authorized Representatives | 1,107 | 155 | 1,262 | |
| Representative of Education | 50 | 02 | 52 | |
| Representative of Employment | 19 | 07 | 26 | |
| Representative of Health, Allied Health, and Rehabilitation | 281 | 40 | 321 | |
| Representative of Community Living | 19,300 | 52 | 19,352 | |
| Representative of Technology | 14 | 01 | 15 | |
| Unable to Categorize | 105 | 00 | 105 | |
| Total | 23,152 | 704 | 23,856 | |

Referral Types:

Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech) has utilized some unique outreach methods to increase awareness and partnerships this past fiscal year. This includes the work that our subcontracted agencies (Centers for Independent Living) are doing with recreational access for people with disabilities. This also includes work with local, national, and international organizations along with businesses to expand involvement and ensure facility access plus provision of adapted recreational equipment. Examples include working with the 2022 Women's World Ice Hockey organizers, the Hostel Shoppe for an adapted cycling awareness event, development of adaptive gaming expertise, and Manitowoc County for adapted trail development.

Referral Sources:

Overall referrals for Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech) include people with disabilities, their family members or caregivers, long-term care providers, Aging and Disability Resource Centers, educators (K-12 and post-secondary), employers, technology vendors, and the general community. Information and assistance requests are handled by Department of Health Services staff, as well as staff from the Centers for Independent Living (CIL) and CIL network. Overwhelmingly, Information and assistance referrals are from people with disabilities or their family members; with 81% falling into these two categories. WisTech has experienced an increase in the number of contacts related to Information and Communications Technology (ICT) and digital accessibility which results in additional technical assistance and trainings being provided. Requests are both internal and external to the Department of Health Services.

Notes:

Coordination/Collaboration and State Improvement Outcomes

Overview of Coordination/Collaboration Activities

Coordination/Collaboration activities are not required. You may report up to two MAJOR coordination/collaboration activities for this reporting period. How many will you be reporting?

A. Coordination/Collaboration

1. As concisely as possible, describe the partnership initiative. What activities/services were provided? Who are the major collaborating organizations and what is their role? Who is served/benefited? What funding was used to implement the initiative?

1

The collaborative project outlined for this report involves education and development of best practices for public education through video production and dissemination. Wisconsin's Assistive Technology Program (WisTech) partnered with the Governor's Committee for People with Disabilities (GCPD), the Office for the Deaf and Hard of Hearing (ODHH), the Office of the Secretary for the Governor, and internal Information Technology (IT) staff within the Department of Health Services (DHS). Two major video projects occurred during this past funding year. Wis Tech's technical assistance involvement for the video projects described below was critical to ensure that each video was fully accessible to individuals with disabilities, specifically anyone using assistive technology to access the final products. This assistance with accessibility included guidance on the use of both open and closed captions, script development, voice overs, video descriptions for visual content, and the use of plain language. The first video project, in collaboration with the GCPD, was used to document the statewide barriers to healthcare that individuals with disabilities faced during the COVID-19 pandemic. Individuals who were impacted were interviewed to share their experiences related to receiving care and provider access such as limited face-to-face appointments and inability to have an advocate or others along for medical appointments. This video targeted individuals with disabilities, family and/or caregivers, the medical or healthcare community, and legislators or policy makers. The funding utilized was internal to DHS and included the staff time from DHS and the IT department as well as members of the GCPD. Governor Tony Evers participated in this video project to stress the critical nature of these barriers and assurances of improvements around the issues raised. The second video project collaboration was in partnership with ODHH and the purpose of the video was to provide education and awareness for assistive technology solutions for people who are deaf and hard of hearing. This video targets individuals who are deaf or hard of hearing, family members, service providers, and the community in general, by highlighting the options available to increase independence and improve community access. The funding utilized was internal to DHS and included the staff time from all WisTech, ODHH, and the IT department.

2. As concisely as possible, describe the measurable results of the initiative and any lessons learned. How did access to AT change as a result of the coordination/collaboration/partnership? How did awareness of AT change as a result of the partnership? How did the reach of the state AT program change as a result of the partnership? What made the partnership

successful? What would you change or wish you had done differently? Provided funding/resources are available, will the initiative continue or is this a one-time event? What advice would you give for replication of the initiative? Please include URL for initiative if available.

The primary result of this collaboration would be difficult to measure quantitively since the outcome was the establishment of best practices for the distribution of public information through video production. Additionally, since the collaborative projects, the Governor's Office has become fully aware of the need for full access in communications with Wisconsin's citizens. Video production in general, from this point forward, will incorporate these accessibility features. DHS staff from the IT department gained Information and Communications Technology (ICT) knowledge, which will transfer to all future projects that they are involved with. The GCPD #Access4All video project raised and validated the concerns that members of the GCPD were able to identify during the COVID-19 pandemic surrounding equal access to healthcare. #Access4All Video Link. The ODHH Assistive Technology (AT) for Hearing Loss video project increased access to general knowledge about AT devices as well as the locations where this technology can be demonstrated or loaned through the WisTech Loan and Demonstration program. ODHH AT for Deaf and Hard of Hearing video link. These partnerships were successful due to the united desire to create and distribute accessible public information. This partnership highlighted the need to consider accessibility at the very beginning of a project. For example, several key accessibility requirements were not considered when initial drafts of the videos were created. This necessitated the need for several revisions to the videos, creating extra work for everyone involved. Moving forward, Wis Tech and ODHH have met to discuss the creation of additional videos to highlight other areas of assistive technology. A draft script for a Vision Loss AT video has been developed in collaboration with the Office for the Blind and Visually Impaired (OBVI), also part of the Department of Health Services.

3. What focus areas(s) were addressed by the initiative?

Transportation; Community Participation and Integration; Health; Aging; Information and Communication Technology / Remote Connectivity;

4. What AT Act authorized activity(s) were addressed?

Device Loan; Demonstration; Public Awareness;

Overview of State Improvement Activities

State improvement outcomes are not required. You may report up to two MAJOR state improvement outcomes for this reporting period. How many will you be reporting?

00

Additional And Leveraged Funds

| Did you have Additional and Leveraged Funding to Report? | Yes | |
|--|-----|--|

A. Leveraged Funding for State Plan Activities

| Fund Source | Amount | Use of Funds | Data Reported |
|-------------|--------|--------------|---------------|
|-------------|--------|--------------|---------------|

For any leveraged funding reported above for which data could not be reported, please describe the extenuating circumstances that precluded data from being reported and efforts to remediate the situation in future reporting periods.

B. Public Health Workforce Grant Award

All Section 4 AT Act grantees were awarded \$80,000.00 in supplimental Public Health Workforce grant funding to increase the full-time equivalent (FTE) of staff withing the disability and aging network for public health professionals. Please document the status of these funds below.

- The status of these funds at the end of the FY22 (9/30/2022) is as follows: (Check one) -

- \bigcirc We did not utilize this funding in FY22 and wish to decline the funds in the future
- We did not expend any of this funding in FY22, but plan to expend funding in FY23 and/or FY24
- \bigcirc We expended some of all of this funding in FY22 (please complete the section below)

Please report the amount expended (drawn down and liquidated) in this reporting period, FY22:

| \$0.00

Please report the number of Full Time Equivalent (FTE) positions this funding supported by type. This can be a direct employee FTE or contractual FTE.

| Type of FTE Position | FTE Positions (0.01 to 9.99) |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Assistive Technology Specialist | 0.00 |
| Information and Assistance Specialist | 0.00 |
| Outreach and Awareness Specialist | 0.00 |
| Training Specialist | 0.00 |
| Health Care Professional (includes PT, OT, SLP) | 0.00 |
| Accessibility Expert | 0.00 |
| Policy Expert | 0.00 |
| Technology Expert (Digital Divide, Mobile Tech) | 0.00 |
| Program Manager | 0.00 |
| Other positions needed to advance public health and prevent, prepare for, and respond to COVid- 19 (describe with narrative field required) | 0.00 |

Please describe the activities of the above FTE and how such activities advance public health.

C. Describe any unique issues with your data in this section (e.g., the reason why you were unable to report the number of individuals served with additional or leveraged funds).

Center for Assistive Technology Act Data Assistance . Saved: Mon Dec 19 2022 12:33:48 GMT-0600 (Central Standard Time)